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Abstract

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a common neurodevelopmental disorder with reported prevalence in the United States of 1 in 59 children (approximately 1.7%). Core deficits are identified in 2 domains: social communication/interaction and restrictive, repetitive patterns of behavior. Children and youth with ASD have service needs in behavioral, educational, health, leisure, family support, and other areas. Standardized screening for ASD at 18 and 24 months of age with ongoing developmental surveillance continues to be recommended in primary care (although it may be performed in other settings), because ASD is common, can be diagnosed as young as 18 months of age, and has evidenced-based interventions that may improve function. More accurate and culturally sensitive screening approaches are needed. Primary care providers should be familiar with the diagnostic criteria for ASD, appropriate etiologic evaluation, and co-occurring medical and behavioral conditions (such as disorders of sleep and feeding, gastrointestinal tract symptoms, obesity, seizures, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder, anxiety, and wandering) that affect the child’s function and quality of life. There is an increasing evidence base to support behavioral and other interventions to address specific skills and symptoms. Shared decision making calls for collaboration with families in evaluation and choice of interventions. This single clinical report updates the 2007 American Academy of Pediatrics clinical reports on the evaluation and treatment of ASD in one publication with an online table of contents and section view available through the American Academy of Pediatrics Gateway to help the reader identify topic areas within the report.

Introduction

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a category of neurodevelopmental disorders characterized by social and communication impairment and...
restricted or repetitive behaviors.\(^1\)

ASD affects more than 5 million Americans, with an estimated prevalence of approximately 1.7% in children.\(^2\) The care needs of children with ASD are significant, affect parents and siblings as well, and require substantial community resources. Direct and indirect costs of caring for children and adults with ASD in the United States in 2015 were estimated to be $268 billion, more than the cost of stroke and hypertension combined.\(^3\) The lifetime cost of education, health, and other service needs for an individual with ASD ranges from $1.4 to $2.4 million dollars, depending on whether he or she has any co-occurring intellectual disabilities.\(^4\) To deliver timely and effective medical, behavioral, educational, and social services across the lifespan means that primary care providers must understand the needs of individuals with ASD and their families. ASD is more commonly diagnosed now than in the past, and the significant health, educational, and social needs of individuals with ASD and their families constitute an area of critical need for resources, research, and professional education.

In the 12 years since the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) published the clinical report “Identification and Evaluation of Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders\(^5\)” and its companion, “Management of Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders,”\(^6\) reported prevalence rates of ASD in children have increased, understanding of potential risk factors has expanded, awareness of co-occurring medical conditions and genetic contribution to etiology has improved, and the body of research supporting evidence-based interventions has grown substantially. This updated clinical report builds on previous reports and guidance for care of children and youth with ASD. It also reflects changes in diagnostic criteria after publication of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition (DSM-5)\(^1\) in 2013. The DSM-5 established a single category of ASD to replace the subtypes of autistic disorder, Asperger syndrome, and pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition, Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR). With the current reported prevalence rate of 1: 59 children (approximately 1.7%), all primary care providers can expect to have children and youth with ASD in their practices.\(^2\) As noted in earlier clinical reports, the primary care provider has critical access to the child in the context of the medical home to identify symptoms of ASD early in childhood, support the family through the process of diagnosis and intervention, address etiologic evaluations, help the family understand how to interpret the evidence supporting different interventions so they can effectively engage in shared decision-making, and manage co-occurring medical conditions that may influence outcome and affect daily function. The primary care provider can help minimize disparities in age of diagnosis of African American and Hispanic children and be alert to the potential for gender bias in symptom recognition.\(^7\) This updated document aims to provide primary care providers with a summary of current information in a single report that will help guide them in providing a medical home for the patient with ASD.

SECTION 1: PREVALENCE

Incidence is the onset of new diagnoses over time in a selected cohort. Without consistent longitudinal data in a specified cohort, incidence cannot be determined. Because of the heterogeneity of symptoms and severity in ASD, it may be diagnosed in children at different ages. What is reported is age at recognition of symptoms, not the actual onset. As a result, prevalence is more typically reported than incidence, reflecting rates of ASD in the population at a point in time.

The reported prevalence of children with ASD has increased over time, and primary care providers are often asked about the reasons for this increase. This increase may be attributable to several factors, including broadening in the diagnostic criteria with ongoing revisions of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM), the more inclusive definition of pervasive developmental disorder with the adoption of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) in 1994,\(^8\) increased public awareness of the disorder and its symptoms, recommendations for universal screening for ASD,\(^5,9\) and increased availability of early intervention and school-based services for children with ASD. In part, the increasing numbers of children with a diagnosis of ASD may reflect diagnostic substitution, the recognition of ASD in children previously primarily diagnosed with intellectual disability or a co-occurring genetic syndrome.\(^10\) A true increase in the prevalence of ASD associated with other biological risk factors is also possible.

Prevalence rates in US populations are similar to those of other industrialized countries,\(^11\) and lower rates are reported in resource-limited countries, where epidemiological data are more difficult to collect.\(^12\) Data on national samples suggest that the prevalence of ASD is stabilizing.\(^2,13\) Ongoing epidemiological studies help to understand changes in the reported prevalence over time. Epidemiological data help to predict the need for services and identify potential risk factors. Surveillance methods include regional, state, and/or national registry systems;
records- or services-based analyses; surveys; and other methods, including population-based case findings.

In 2000, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) established the Autism and Developmental Disabilities Monitoring (ADDM) Network as a population-based public health surveillance system to estimate the prevalence of ASD in children 8 years of age. ADDM reports published in 2014 and 2016 revealed comparable prevalence rates (approximately 1 in 68),14,15 but the report published in 20182 revealed a slightly increased rate (1 in 59). Additional data over time will help determine if rates have stabilized. The data also revealed some variation in prevalence rates across the participating states, with the highest rates in the locations where both educational and health records were available for chart abstraction and standardized application of diagnostic criteria. Regional variation in prevalence may also reflect availability of services, local provider practices for ASD screening, educational policies, school and/or community resources, and insurance mandates, among other factors. The CDC also published data on the prevalence of ASD in children who were 4 years of age in 2010. A lower prevalence rate for diagnosis (1.34%) was reported in these children (approximately 30% less than that of children 8 years of age). The lower identified prevalence and higher proportional rate of children 4 years of age with ASD and intellectual disabilities may be attributable, in part, to later diagnosis of children with ASD and average-range cognitive abilities.16 The National Survey of Children's Health (2011–2012) and the National Survey of Children with Special Health Care Needs (2009–2010) were analyzed for the age the parents reported diagnosis as well as for parent-reported subjective severity. The minority of children were identified as having ASD before 3 years of age. Diagnosis later than 6 years of age was reported in one-third to half of children. Later age at diagnosis was associated with reported mild presentation.16

CDC surveillance data published in 2014 revealed that white, non-Hispanic children were approximately 20% more likely to be identified with ASD before the case review than were non-Hispanic African American children and were about 50% more likely to be identified with ASD than were Hispanic children.14 Recent prevalence data reveal increasing rates of ASD in Hispanic and African American children. This may reflect more widespread awareness of the symptoms among parents, schools, and health care providers and improved rates of screening in health supervision care.2 Studies examining the effects of race and ethnicity on age at diagnosis are conflicting,7 but earlier diagnosis of ASD is associated with higher socioeconomic status and access to services. African American and Hispanic children diagnosed with ASD by age 4 years were more likely to have coexisting intellectual disability than were white, non-Hispanic children, suggesting that some African American and Hispanic children with ASD and average to above-average intelligence may not have been identified.17

SECTION 2: CLINICAL SYMPTOMS

Despite advances in understanding the neurobiology and genetics of ASD, the diagnosis of ASD continues to be based on identifying and reporting behaviorally defined clinical symptoms. The challenges in determining accurate prevalence rates, in part, relate to the need for consistency in clinical diagnosis of a very heterogeneous disorder. In 2013, the DSM-5 consolidated the diagnosis of ASD into a single category and emphasized the importance of identifying coexisting developmental and behavioral disorders and symptoms. In the years since the 2007 AAP clinical reports on ASD, both professional education and public awareness have promoted recognition of symptoms that might lead to early identification of ASD, use of standardized screening approaches, and management of associated medical and behavioral features of ASD from infancy through adolescence.

Core Symptoms

Although symptoms of ASD are neurologically based, they manifest as behavioral characteristics that present differently depending on age, language level, and cognitive abilities. Core symptoms cluster in 2 domains (social communication/interaction and restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior), as described in the DSM-5.1 Atypical development in several functional areas contribute to symptoms of ASD. Abnormalities in understanding the intent of others, diminished interactive eye contact, and atypical use and understanding of gesture presage atypical development of social communication and pretend play as well as interest in other children. Symptoms of ASD are further shaped by deficits in imitation and of processing information across sensory modalities, such as vision (gesture) and hearing (language). Repetitive behaviors and perseveration may be primary compulsions but may also be related to atypical processing of sensory information or may reflect a desire to instill predictability when an individual does not understand the intent of others. The CDC “Learn the Signs. Act Early” Web site provides free resources to help families recognize developmental concerns, including autism (https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/actearly/), and Autism Navigator (www.autismnavigator.com) has a video glossary of early symptoms in toddlers.
Approximately one-quarter of children with ASD will be reported to have a regression in language or social skills, most typically between 18 and 24 months of age.\textsuperscript{18,19} The reason for this loss of previously acquired milestones is not yet known. Although medical evaluation of loss of milestones is indicated, a history of regression are not yet well understood. Current theories include synaptic “over pruning” in response to genetic factors.\textsuperscript{20}

**Diagnostic Criteria: DSM-5**

The DSM has been central in establishing criteria for diagnosing mental and behavioral disorders. The diagnosis of infantile autism was introduced in the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Edition* nearly 30 years after the first edition of the DSM was published in 1952. The initial descriptions were narrow and referred to individuals with profound impairment. Publication of the DSM-IV in 1994 expanded the diagnosis to a spectrum of symptoms called pervasive developmental disorders (PDDs), which included the diagnoses of autistic disorder, Asperger disorder, pervasive developmental disorder not otherwise specified (PDD-NOS), childhood disintegrative disorder, and Rett disorder. The PDDs included individuals with lower- and higher-functioning cognitive skills. PDD-NOS was a diagnostic category requiring some, but not all, of the core symptoms necessary for other diagnoses in this category. Subsequent research has demonstrated that the subgroupings within PDD were not reproducible across research sites by using the same diagnostic data\textsuperscript{21,22} and were not stable over time. The overlap between DSM-IV–defined subgroups paired with inconsistency in their application across research sites supports the decision to consolidate the subgroups into 1 diagnostic category, ASD, in the DSM-5. The DSM-IV divided the symptoms of ASD into 3 areas: qualitative impairment of social reciprocity, qualitative impairment of communication, and restricted and repetitive behaviors. In the DSM-5, core symptoms were divided into 2 domains (social communication and social interaction and restrictive, repetitive patterns of behaviors).\textsuperscript{23} To fulfill diagnostic criteria for ASD by using the DSM-5, all 3 symptoms of social affective difference need to be present in addition to 2 of 4 symptoms related to restrictive and repetitive behaviors. Examples in Table 1 are illustrative but not exhaustive. The recognition of symptoms of ASD related to sensory processing led to the inclusion of sensory symptoms, such as hyper- or hyporeactivity to sensory input or unusual interests in sensory aspects of the environment. Examples include apparent indifference to pain or temperature; sensitivity to sound, taste, or textures; and intense visual interest in objects or movement. The DSM-5 notes that a diagnosis may be made at older ages, when the demands of the social or school environment may result in functional impairment.

Almost all individuals with a diagnosis of autistic disorder or Asperger syndrome by using DSM-IV criteria would be diagnosed with ASD by using DSM-5 criteria.\textsuperscript{24} To determine if the same patients would be identified by the DSM-IV and DSM-5 criteria, the CDC ADDM Network looked at its chart abstraction data on 8-year-old children.\textsuperscript{25} This analysis revealed that more than 80% of children diagnosed with PDD-NOS would also be diagnosed with ASD.\textsuperscript{25} It is possible that the narrative in the charts that were abstracted was influenced by knowledge of the DSM-IV criteria.\textsuperscript{26} There is a high level of agreement of surveillance data by using DSM-IV-TR and DSM-5 criteria.

The DSM-5 criteria have been shown to appropriately identify younger children and those with mild symptoms.\textsuperscript{25,27} These children with milder cognitive and adaptive symptoms may be the ones most likely to have significant change with early intervention services. The DSM-5 also introduced an approach to severity rating, which is summarized in Table 2. Severity rating reflects the impairment of the ASD symptoms and the resultant service needs of the individual. Severity rating is not a quantifiable score that can be used to monitor progress at this time; in clinical use, it often reflects the impact of cognitive limitations.\textsuperscript{28} Measures have been published that attempt to capture severity of core symptoms\textsuperscript{29,30} and allow for measurement of improvement with intervention.\textsuperscript{31} To date, no single measure adequately reflects the combination of medical, behavioral, and educational severity in a fashion that will help clinicians and families determine progress with intervention across multiple functional domains. Coexisting medical disorders also affect the perception of severity and the prognosis for children with a diagnosis of ASD. The DSM-5 includes course specifiers that help describe the variation in symptoms of individuals with ASD. Course specifiers include the presence or absence of intellectual impairment, language impairment, catatonia, medical conditions, and known genetic or environmental etiologic factors. Patients with Rett syndrome are no longer automatically considered to have a diagnosis of ASD according the DSM-5, although individuals with this neurogenetic disorder may also meet diagnostic criteria for ASD. Specific genetic causes of ASD should be recorded as specifiers for individuals with ASD when identified. The DSM-5 promotes
TABLE 1 DSM-5 Criteria for Autism Spectrum Disorder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domains</th>
<th>Criteria: Deficits</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction</td>
<td>1. Social-emotional reciprocity</td>
<td>Abnormal social approach and failure of normal back-and-forth conversation; reduced sharing of interests, emotions, or affect; failure to initiate or respond to social interactions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>across multiple contexts, as manifested by the following, currently or</td>
<td>2. Nonverbal communicative behaviors used for social interaction</td>
<td>Poorly integrated verbal and nonverbal communication; abnormalities in eye contact and body language or deficits in understanding and use of gestures; total lack of facial expressions and nonverbal communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by history; must have all 3 symptoms in this domain</td>
<td>3. Developing, maintaining, and understanding relationships</td>
<td>Difficulties adjusting behavior to suit various social contexts; difficulties in sharing imaginative play or in making friends; absence of interest in peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities,</td>
<td>1. Stereotyped or repetitive motor movements, use of objects, or speech</td>
<td>Simple motor stereotypies, lining up toys or flipping objects, echolalia, idiosyncratic phrases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as manifested by at least 2 of the following, currently or by history;</td>
<td>2. Insistence on sameness, inflexible adherence to routines, or ritualized patterns</td>
<td>Extreme distress at small changes, difficulties with transitions, rigid thinking patterns, greeting rituals, need to take same route or eat food every day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must have 2 of the 4 symptoms</td>
<td>or verbal nonbehavior</td>
<td>Strong attachment to or preoccupation with unusual objects, excessively circumscribed or perseverative interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Impaired ability to match their communication style with the context</td>
<td>3. Highly restricted, fixed interests that are abnormal in intensity or focus</td>
<td>Apparent indifference to pain/temperature, adverse response to specific sounds or textures, excessive smelling or touching of objects, visual fascination with lights or movement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for communication, difficulty following the conventional rules for</td>
<td>4. Hyper- or hyporeactivity to sensory input or unusual interests in sensory aspects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conversation, and difficulty with idioms and unstated meanings in</td>
<td>of the environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>language (Table 3). As with ASD, the symptoms cannot be better explained</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by another DSM-5 diagnosis.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and experience with DSM-5 diagnoses over time will give</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clinicians a better sense of how ASD and social communication disorder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>are similar and different in terms of etiology, prognosis, and treatment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The characteristics of social pragmatic communication disorder and how</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>best to address symptoms require additional study.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Although the DSM-5 provides the criteria and definitions to accurately</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assign mental health and behavioral diagnoses, the *International</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification* is the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>standardized code set used for payment as well as for statistical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tracking through electronic medical records. The *International</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>continues to include the subtypes of diagnoses as defined by the DSM-IV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The DSM-5 provides the clinician with criteria and definitions for diagnosis of ASD and should guide the clinician in the diagnosis and management of ASD.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Social pragmatic communication disorder is a new diagnosis described within the DSM-5 that describes individuals who exhibit functionally impairing symptoms in social language use but do not have habitual or repetitive behaviors. Individuals who are affected must have deficits in using language for social purposes, impaired ability to match their communication style with the context for communication, difficulty following the conventional rules for conversation, and difficulty with idioms and unstated meanings in language (Table 3). As with ASD, the symptoms cannot be better explained by another DSM-5 diagnosis. Research and experience with DSM-5 diagnoses over time will give clinicians a better sense of how ASD and social communication disorder are similar and different in terms of etiology, prognosis, and treatment. Evaluation of pragmatic (social) language use by a speech-language pathologist provides additional information to consider this diagnosis. The characteristics of social pragmatic communication disorder and how best to address symptoms require additional study.

Co-occurring Symptoms and Conditions

Co-occurring conditions are common in children with ASD and may have great effects on child and family functioning and clinical management (see also Section 5: Interventions). Examples include medical conditions such as sleep disorders and seizures; other developmental or behavioral diagnoses, such as attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), anxiety, and mood disorders; and behavioral disorders, such as food refusal, self-injury, and aggression.
TABLE 2 ASD Symptoms by Level of Severity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity Level</th>
<th>Social Affective</th>
<th>Restricted and Repetitive Behaviors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1. “Requiring support”</td>
<td>Without supports in place, deficits in social communication cause noticeable impairments. Difficulty initiating social interactions, and clear examples of atypical or unsuccessful response to social overtures of others. May appear to have decreased interest in social interactions.</td>
<td>Inflexibility of behavior causes significant interference with functioning in one or more contexts. Difficulty switching between activities. Problems of organization and planning hamper independence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2. “Requiring substantial support”</td>
<td>Marked deficits in verbal and nonverbal social communication skills. Social impairments apparent even with supports in place. Limited initiation of social interactions and reduced or abnormal responses to social overtures from others.</td>
<td>Inflexibility of behavior; difficulty coping with change, or other restricted and repetitive behaviors appear frequently enough to be obvious to the casual observer and interfere with functioning in a variety of contexts. Distress and/or difficulty changing focus or action.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 3. “Requiring very substantial support”</td>
<td>Severe deficits in verbal and nonverbal social communication skills cause severe impairments in functioning, very limited initiation of social interactions, and minimal response to social overtures from others.</td>
<td>Inflexibility of behavior, extreme difficulty coping with change, or other restricted and repetitive behaviors markedly interfere with functioning in all spheres. Great distress at or difficulty with changing focus or action.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


a diagnosis of ASD will also have intellectual disability,2 and 30% are minimally verbal.35 Increasingly, researchers and clinicians recognize how co-occurring disorders help identify phenotypic differences within populations affected by ASD, which can influence prognosis and choice of interventions.

Prognosis

The prognosis and trajectory of development for a young child diagnosed with ASD typically cannot be predicted at the time of diagnosis. However, most children (≥80%) who are diagnosed with ASD after a comprehensive evaluation at less than 3 years have retained their diagnosis.36,37 It may be more difficult to recognize mild symptoms of ASD in children younger than 3 years of age, especially if they have average or above-average cognitive abilities.38 Across early childhood development, communication skills and social affective symptoms may improve, whereas repetitive behaviors may change, possibly reflecting maturation and/or intervention.39 In general, young children with ASD with language impairment appear to have more social difficulty than do children with ASD without language impairment. Children with ASD and intellectual disability have the most difficulty developing social competence.40 The prognosis for children with ASD in phenotypic and demographic subgroups (eg, girls, racial and ethnic subgroups, children with macrocephaly) needs additional study.

Approximately 9% of children who are diagnosed with ASD in early childhood may not meet diagnostic criteria for ASD by young adulthood. Youth who no longer meet criteria for ASD are more likely to have a history of higher cognitive skills at 2 years of age, to have participated in earlier intervention services, and to have demonstrated a decrease in their repetitive behaviors over time.41 A change in clinical diagnosis (eg, to ADHD or obsessive-compulsive disorder [OCD]) is more likely in children who were diagnosed with ASD before 30 months of age or had a diagnosis of PDD-NOS per the DSM-IV.42,43 Severity scores are most likely to improve in youth who have had the greatest increase in tested verbal IQ.44 Executive function difficulties

TABLE 3 DSM-5 Social (Pragmatic) Communication Disorder (DSM-5 315.39)

A. Persistent difficulties in the social use of verbal and nonverbal communication as manifested by all of the following:
1. Deficits in using communication for social purposes, such as greeting and sharing information, in a manner that is appropriate for the social context.
2. Impairment of the ability to change communication to match context or the needs of the listener, such as speaking differently in a classroom than on the playground, talking differently to a child than to an adult, and avoiding use of overly formal language.
3. Difficulties following rules for conversation and storytelling, such as taking turns in conversation, rephrasing when misunderstood, and knowing how to use verbal and nonverbal signals to regulate interaction.
4. Difficulties understanding what is not explicitly stated (eg, making inferences) and nonliteral or ambiguous meanings of language (eg, idioms, humor, metaphors, multiple meanings that depend on the context for interpretation).
B. The deficits result in functional limitations in effective communication, social participation, social relationships, academic achievement, or occupational performance, individually or in combination.
C. The onset of the symptoms is in the early developmental period (but deficits may not become fully manifest until social communication demands exceed limited capacities).
D. The symptoms are not attributable to another medical or neurologic condition or to low abilities in the domains or word structure and grammar and are not better explained by ASD, intellectual disability (intellectual developmental disorder), global developmental delay, or another mental disorder.

are associated with poorer adaptive outcomes, independent of IQ. Measured intelligence (eg, IQ) and language ability in childhood tend to predict outcome in adulthood. However, reported quality of life in high-functioning adults with ASD was associated more with the presence of family and community supports than their symptoms related to ASD.

SECTION 3: SCREENING AND DIAGNOSIS

The AAP recommends screening all children for symptoms of ASD through a combination of developmental surveillance at all visits and standardized autism-specific screening tests at 18 and 24 months of age in their primary care visits because children with ASD can be identified as toddlers, and early intervention can and does influence outcomes. This autism-specific screening complements the recommended general developmental screening at 9, 18, and 30 months of age. Efficient screening of all children would be aided by inclusion of valid screening tools in the electronic health record with appropriate compensation for the staff and professional time necessary to complete the administration, scoring, and counseling related to screening.

Screening tools are designed to help caregivers identify and report symptoms observed in children at high risk for ASD. The screens are based on early manifestations of symptoms of core deficits related to social communication. Some of these early symptoms that may alert the provider to the risk for ASD have been called “red flags” (Table 4).

Developmental surveillance for ASD includes asking caregivers about concerns they have about their child’s development or behavior, informal observation, and monitoring of symptoms in the context of routine health supervision. The “Learn the Signs. Act Early” parent resources developed by the CDC may help educate families about developmental and behavioral milestones (https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/actearly/index.html). Developmental surveillance alone is not sufficient to identify children who need further evaluation because children with ASD may not demonstrate characteristic symptoms in brief office visits, and caregivers may not volunteer social and emotional concerns unless specifically asked. Use of a standardized screening tool for ASD can help families identify potential symptoms. In a large study evaluating universal screening with the Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers (M-CHAT), researchers asked physicians to note whether they were concerned about ASD. Sensitivity of physician clinical concern was low (0.244; 30 of 123 cases; 95% confidence interval 0.17–0.32). The sensitivity of the M-CHAT when used as directed in this low-risk population was 0.91. Accurate early identification has been a goal of the AAP since the publication of the 2 previous autism clinical reports in 2007, with focused continuing medical education and a tool kit (AAP Autism Toolkit: https://toolkits.solutions.aap.org/toolkits.aspx). The goal of universal screening, including screening for ASD, has been supported by public health agencies and family support organizations. Rates of screening for both developmental delays and ASD in primary pediatric care have increased steadily. In the 2015 AAP survey of screening practices, almost three-quarters of pediatricians who responded reported routine ASD screening. Pediatricians increasingly report including office staff for efficient workflow, including administration and scoring of screening tests. Although time and remuneration remain as concerns, fewer pediatricians rate these as barriers. Referral for and tracking of evaluation and services remain a challenge associated with lack of office-based systems for making referrals and after screen-positive outcomes.

The authors of the 2019 AAP developmental surveillance and screening clinical report discuss strategies for billing for screening and counseling in primary care. The following sections describe tools commonly used to screen and diagnose ASD and emphasize the importance of ongoing surveillance, especially in children at high risk.

### Table 4 Red Flags: Early Symptoms of ASD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Does not respond to name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By 14 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Does not point at objects to show interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By 18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Does not pretend play</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Avoids eye contact and may want to be alone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Has trouble understanding other people’s feelings or talking about their own feelings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Has delayed speech and language skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Repeats words or phrases over and over (echolalia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Gives unrelated answers to questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Gets upset by minor changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Has obsessive interests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Makes repetitive movements like flapping hands, rocking, or spinning in circles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Has unusual reactions to the way things sound, smell, taste, look, or feel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information from this table is adapted from http://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/autism/signs.html.
cognitive, and motor delays but may not be sensitive to social symptoms associated with identification of ASD. This limitation associated with general developmental screening is why ASD-specific tools are needed to capture differences in social interaction, play, and repetitive behaviors. See the AAP clinical report “Promoting Optimal Development: Identifying Infants and Young Children With Developmental Disorders Through Developmental Surveillance and Screening” Table 1 (developmental screening tests; a description of general developmental and behavioral screening tests), and Table 5 in this report for resources and guidance on developmental screening.

Parent-completed questionnaires are the most common screening tests used in primary care. Commonly used autism-specific screening tools that are based on questionnaires and observation are summarized in Table 6. Many clinician-administered screening tests require specific training (eg, the Screening Tool for Autism in Toddlers and Young Children [STAT]). A clinician-administered test like the STAT increases the likelihood of an ASD diagnosis on further testing and may be used to support a preliminary diagnosis of ASD to obtain services. Identification of infants and toddlers at risk for ASD based on neurophysiologic makers or other biomarkers is discussed in the subsection The Biology of ASD in Section 4: Etiologic Evaluation.

### Screening by Age Group

#### Children Younger Than Age 18 Months

Earlier diagnosis of ASD may lead to earlier treatment. The M-CHAT is the most studied and widely used tool for screening toddlers for ASD. Additional tools are under investigation and are listed in Table 6 as promising autism screening tests. Language delay can be identified by using the Infant and Toddler Checklist (parent questionnaire) in low-risk infants and toddlers between 12 and 18 months of age. This questionnaire might be useful in identifying infant siblings of children with ASD who are at increased risk for ASD. Additional research may allow for screening of toddlers as young as 12 months by using parent-administered questionnaires such as the Communication and Symbolic Behavior Scales Development Profile and the Infant and Toddler Checklist.

Primary care providers are tasked with identifying all children who would benefit from early intervention, not just children at risk for ASD (see the AAP clinical report “Promoting Optimal Development: Identifying Infants and Young Children With Developmental Disorders Through Developmental Surveillance and Screening” for further information). It is important to identify all clinically significant delays in children with referral for appropriate diagnostic evaluation and intervention. Problems with sleep, eating, constipation, and state regulation are common in the general population but may be particularly challenging in young children with ASD. Pediatricians can help families with management of these symptoms.

#### Children Ages 18 to 30 Months

The most commonly used questionnaire-based screening tool is the M-CHAT. It has been further validated, and the scoring has been modified for ease of administration in primary care settings for children ages 16 to 30 months. The Modified Checklist for Autism in Toddlers, Revised with Follow-Up (Questions) (M-CHAT-R/F) eliminates 3 questions from the previous version. Children who score ≥8 are at high risk for ASD or another developmental disorder and should be referred immediately for diagnostic assessment. For children with scores of 3 to 7, publicly available scripted follow-up interview questions are required for the items scored as positive. Children who continue to have 3 to 7 items positive for ASD diagnosis after clarifying follow-up questions have a 47% risk of having ASD diagnosed and a 95% chance of being identified with some other developmental delay that would benefit from intervention. Children screened with the M-CHAT-R/F are identified with ASD at younger ages than predicted by national statistics. Children who do not pass ASD screening tests or who score as at risk for a diagnosis should be referred for both diagnostic assessment and intervention services. A definitive diagnosis is not necessary to institute services for documented delays that would be served through early intervention or school services. Although the M-CHAT-R/F appears to be useful for general screening of diverse populations, decreasing the disparity in early diagnosis will require adapting and validating measures and addressing cultural and linguistic barriers to screening.

Measures under development may provide rapid screening while addressing clinician concerns for compatibility with an electronic record system and open access.

### TABLE 5 Resources and Guidance for Developmental Screening

- AAP Bright Futures: Guidelines for the Health Supervision of Infants, Children, and Adolescents
- AAP early childhood screening
- AAP clinical report “Promoting Optimal Development: Identifying Infants and Young Children With Developmental Disorders Through Developmental Surveillance and Screening”
- Additional guidance for developmental and behavioral screening can be found in “Birth to 5: Watch Me Thrive” which contains helpful information for the primary care provider about how to present the results of developmental screening (available at: https://www.acf.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/ecd/pcp_screening_guide_march2014.pdf).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autism Screening Tests</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Average No. Items</th>
<th>Administration Time</th>
<th>Forms Available</th>
<th>Psychometric Properties</th>
<th>Scoring Method</th>
<th>Cultural Considerations</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Key References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M-CHAT-R/F Parent-completed questionnaire designed to identify children at risk for autism from the general population; follow-up clinician-administered questions and repeat questionnaire required for specificity</td>
<td>16–30 mo</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5–10 min</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Standardization sample included 18,071 children screened; 115 had positive screen results, 348 needed evaluation, 221 were evaluated, and 105 diagnosed with an ASD; validated by using the ADI-R, ADOS-G, CARS, and DSM-IV-TR; sensitivity: 0.91; specificity: 0.95 for low-risk 18- and 24-mo-old children with follow-up questionnaire and interview; 45% of children with a score ≥3 on the initial screen and ≥2 on follow-up had ASD; 95% had clinically significant developmental delay</td>
<td>Risk categorization for questionnaire (pass/need interview/ fail); after interview (pass/fail)</td>
<td>Available in multiple languages; see test information for details</td>
<td><a href="http://mchatscreen.com/">http://mchatscreen.com/</a></td>
<td>Ref 51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCQ Parent-completed questionnaire; designed to identify children at risk for ASD from the general population; based on items in the ADI-R</td>
<td>4 + y</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>5–10 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Validated by using the ADI-R and DSM-IV on 200 subjects (160 with pervasive developmental disorder; 40 without pervasive developmental disorder); for use in children with mental age of at</td>
<td>Risk categorization (pass/fail)</td>
<td>Available in multiple languages; see test information for details</td>
<td>Western Psychological Corporation: <a href="http://www.wpspublish.com">www.wpspublish.com</a></td>
<td>Refs 77 and 572</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism Screening Tests</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Age Range</td>
<td>Average No. Items</td>
<td>Administration Time</td>
<td>Forms Available</td>
<td>Psychometric Properties</td>
<td>Scoring Method</td>
<td>Cultural Considerations</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Key References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT</td>
<td>Clinician-directed, interactive, and observation measure; requires training of clinician for standardized administration; not for population screening</td>
<td>24–35 mo; &lt;24 mo (exploratory)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20–30 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Validated by comparison with ADOS-G results in 52 children 24–35 mo 26 with autism, 26 with developmental delay: sensitivity: 0.83; specificity: 0.86; PPV: 0.77; NPV: 0.90, for &lt;24 mo: sensitivity: 0.95; specificity: 0.73; PPV: 0.56; NPV: 0.97; screening properties improved for children &gt;14 mo</td>
<td>12 activities to observe early social- communicative behavior; risk categorization (high risk/low risk)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td><a href="http://stat.vueinnovations.com/">http://stat.vueinnovations.com/</a></td>
<td>Refs 573 and 574</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Promising autism screening tests</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Infant/Toddler Checklist (Communication and Symbolic Behavior Scales)</td>
<td>Parent questionnaire: screens for language delay</td>
<td>6–24 mo</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>PPV: 0.43 (6–8 mo); PPV: 0.79 (21–24 mo)</td>
<td>Identifies language delays (alone/ with ASD); risk for ASD; risk status for social, Available in multiple languages; see test</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>Paul H. Brookes Publishing Co Inc: 800-638-3775 or www.</td>
<td>Ref 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autism Screening Tests</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Age Range</td>
<td>Average No. Items</td>
<td>Administration Time</td>
<td>Forms Available</td>
<td>Psychometric Properties</td>
<td>Scoring Method</td>
<td>Cultural Considerations</td>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Key References</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental Profile</td>
<td>Parent questionnaire: research edition, 47 items</td>
<td>12–36 mo</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>10–15 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Sensitivity: 0.85–0.91; specificity: 0.82–0.84; PPV: 0.55–0.81; NPV: 0.88–0.98</td>
<td>Speech, symbolic composites, and total score</td>
<td>Information for details</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>brookespublishing.com</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early Screening for Autism and Communication Disorders</td>
<td>Parent questionnaire; promising in high-risk population to identify risk in 12-mo-old infants</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Sensitivity, specificity, PPV not reported</td>
<td>Scores at risk; promising in high-risk (infant sibling) cohort (Rowberry et al.27)</td>
<td>Investigation ongoing of subset (24 items)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td><a href="https://firstwordsproject.com/screen-my-child/">https://firstwordsproject.com/screen-my-child/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-Year Inventory Parent questionnaire; promising in high-risk population to identify risk in 12-mo-old infants</td>
<td>Parent questionnaire; promising in high-risk population to identify risk in 12-mo-old infants</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Sensitivity, specificity, PPV not reported</td>
<td>Scores at risk; promising in high-risk (infant sibling) cohort (Rowberry et al.27)</td>
<td>Investigation ongoing of subset (24 items)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td><a href="https://firstwordsproject.com/screen-my-child/">https://firstwordsproject.com/screen-my-child/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent's Observations of Social Interactions</td>
<td>Parent questionnaire used to assess autism risk; ASD screening included on 18-, 24-, and 30-mo The Survey of Well-Being of Young Children: forms</td>
<td>16–35 mo</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>~5 min</td>
<td>Available through patient tools, epic, and CHADIS; available for free download as pdfs from <a href="http://www.theswyc.org">www.theswyc.org</a></td>
<td>Sensitivity: 83%–93%, average 88.5%; specificity: 42%–75%, average 56.9%</td>
<td>3 of 7 symptoms in at-risk range</td>
<td>Available in multiple languages; see test information for details</td>
<td>Free download from <a href="http://www.theswyc.org">www.theswyc.org</a></td>
<td>Publications and User's Manual available at <a href="http://www.theswyc.org">www.theswyc.org</a>; Refs 576 and 577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Interactive Screening Test for Autism in Toddlers 13</td>
<td>Clinician observation: administered by trained examiner</td>
<td>12–36 mo</td>
<td>9 interactive items</td>
<td>20–30 min</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Cutoff &gt;15; sensitivity: 1; specificity: 0.84; PPV: 0.88; NPV: 0.94; needs further study in larger samples</td>
<td>9 interactive activities; total score summed, cutoff score of 15 (for that sample)</td>
<td>English</td>
<td><a href="https://umassmed.edu/AutismRITA/about-the-test/">https://umassmed.edu/AutismRITA/about-the-test/</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The AAP does not approve/endorse any specific tool for screening purposes. This table is not exhaustive, and other tests may be available. ADOS-G, Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule – Generic; CARS, Childhood Autism Rating Scale; CHADIS, Comprehensive Health and Decision Information System; EHR, electronic health record; ICD-10, International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision; IMFAR, International Meeting for Autism Research; NPV, negative predictive value; PPV, positive predictive value.
Further adaptations of the Communication Symbolic Behavior Scale for use in screening for language delays in addition to ASD have the potential to identify children at risk for both disorders (functional communication; ages 6–24 months). Use of this or other screening tools may be coupled with the online support of a video glossary of symptoms of ASD, such as that in the Autism Navigator (http://www.autismnavigator.com/). These and other online approaches to support screening strategies may be integrated into efficient patterns of practice. Results of screening conducted online, in community settings, and in preschools should be communicated to the primary care provider to ensure appropriate evaluation of etiology, co-occurring conditions, referral for diagnosis, and follow-up to ensure that intervention is accessed.

A systematic review by the US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF) concluded that the literature on existing screening tools did not demonstrate sufficient specificity to justify universal screening. The USPSTF noted that no study has directly examined whether children with ASD detected by early screening have better outcomes than those detected by other means. However, such a study would require random assignment of large representative samples from across the country to either a screening or nonscreening condition, with follow-up of long-term outcomes and societal costs. Given that early treatment of children younger than 36 months has been shown to result in positive outcomes, such a study would be challenging to support. The USPSTF concluded that further research is indicated to evaluate the appropriate ages and populations of children who should be screened for ASD and that more accurate and culturally sensitive measures should be developed. The AAP continues to recommend screening using the most valid of current measures at 18 and 24 months of age. Pediatricians cannot assume that early intervention systems will screen participants being served for language or global delays for ASD at the recommended ages. Universal screening is recommended because symptoms of ASD can be identified in early childhood, and a diagnosis of ASD by skilled professionals is accurate in children as young as 18 months of age. Diagnostic stability is high for children who are diagnosed with ASD at 18 to 36 months of age. Early screening does not identify many children with milder symptoms and typical cognitive ability as at risk for ASD; therefore, ongoing surveillance remains necessary. Participation in early intervention in general is greatest among children who had screening and surveillance.

**Children Older Than 30 Months**

At present, for children older than 30 months, there are no validated screening tools available for use in pediatric practice, nor are there current recommendations by the AAP for universal screening for ASD in that age group. The Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ) (see Table 6) has been studied in different populations (eg, clinical sample, population reference sample, community sample, and convenience sample), with best results in population samples when using the lifetime version, and appears to have reasonable psychometric properties. However, questionnaires like the SCQ may identify symptoms that overlap with other conditions, such as ADHD, that affect function at school age. Further validation of population-based screening tools for children older than 30 months is needed before recommendations for universal screening of school-aged children can be made. At this time, ongoing surveillance in the context of primary care is recommended.

**Barriers to Identifying Risk for ASD**

Children with milder symptoms and/or average or above-average intelligence may not be identified with symptoms until school age, when differences in social language or personal rigidities affect function. Some children who are later diagnosed with ASD are initially believed to have precocious language, reading, or math skills, and it is not until the social demands of school that the social language symptoms become problematic. It has also been suggested that girls may have lesser intensity of symptoms and fewer externalizing behaviors. These differences may, in part, result in underdiagnosis in girls. Specific coexisting conditions may prevent clinicians from recognizing symptoms of ASD in early childhood. For example, 1 study revealed that children who were initially identified with ADHD in primary care were diagnosed with ASD 3 years later compared with children who did not have earlier symptoms of ADHD. Recognition and referral for older children with social-skill deficits would be facilitated by the development of accurate and brief screening tests for use in primary care and school settings.

Population surveillance data reveal later age at diagnosis for African American and Hispanic children, suggesting that there are barriers to screening and surveillance and referral for diagnosis in groups with other unmet health needs. Race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status did not affect the accuracy of routine screening tests for ASD in low-risk toddlers, suggesting that screening with appropriate supports for follow-up care can lower the age at diagnosis in diverse populations. Language barriers, inaccurate translations, and low parental literacy may compromise use of parent-completed questionnaires. Limited understanding of cultural differences experienced by the patient’s family...
and lack of trust in the health care provider may further limit identification and reporting of symptoms of autism. Screening tools need to be developed for populations of individuals whose primary language is not English and who are also sensitive to cultural barriers that may limit reporting of symptoms of ASD.

**Diagnostic Evaluation**

Once a child is determined to be at risk for a diagnosis of ASD, either by screening or surveillance, a timely referral for clinical diagnostic evaluation and early intervention or school services, depending on his or her age, is indicated. Children with developmental delay with or without an ASD diagnosis should be referred to early intervention or school services, in which cognitive and language testing may be completed. The primary care provider should discuss with the family the importance of both the assessment of developmental status and evaluation for an ASD diagnosis and assist the family in navigating through the process, including connecting them with community resources. Families with low income or language barriers may need additional attention to take the next steps.

Although most children will need to see a specialist, such as a developmental-behavioral or neurodevelopmental pediatrician, psychologist, neurologist, or psychiatrist, for a diagnostic evaluation, general pediatricians and child psychologists comfortable with application of the DSM-5 criteria can make an initial clinical diagnosis. Having a clinical diagnosis may facilitate initiation of services. At this time, there are no laboratory tests that can be used to make a diagnosis of ASD, so careful review of the child’s behavioral history and direct observation of symptoms are necessary. To meet diagnostic criteria, the symptoms must impair function. Formal assessment of language, cognitive, and adaptive abilities and sensory status is an important component of the diagnostic process.

Short clinical visits may not allow even a skilled clinician the opportunity to accurately recognize symptoms of ASD. An accurate history needs to reflect a longitudinal experience with the individual and reflect the effects of symptoms on the patient’s ability to function in family, peer, and school settings. This history is obtained by interview with the patient and caregivers, reports of behavior in other environments (such as school), and descriptions of behavior during formal testing. The history of symptoms of ASD can be supported by questionnaires such as the SCQ or Social Responsiveness Scale (SRS). None of these questionnaires is sufficient alone to make a diagnosis of ASD, but all provide a structured approach to elicit symptoms of ASD. Measures such as the Behavior Assessment System for Children, Diagnostic Interview for Social and Communication Disorders (DISCO), and the Child Behavior Checklist are used to assess children and youth for other behavioral health conditions but may also identify behavioral profiles consistent with ASD.

In some clinical and research settings, the behaviors associated with ASD are reported through the Autism Diagnostic Inventory-Revised (ADI-R), a lengthy, semistructured parent interview. It supports a knowledgeable clinician in applying diagnostic criteria of ASD. The SCQ was designed to elicit similar information to the ADI-R in an abbreviated questionnaire format. The SRS is a 65-item questionnaire that may be used to measure autistic traits on a continuum as part of a more complete evaluation of ASD. Elevated scores may be seen with greater severity of symptoms of ASD as well as with intellectual disability, communication difficulties, and behavioral challenges.

Structured observation of symptoms of ASD during clinical evaluation is helpful to inform the diagnostic application of the DSM-5 criteria. Validated observation tools used to provide structured data to confirm the diagnosis include the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule, Second Edition (ADOS-2) and the Childhood Autism Rating Scale, Second Edition (CARS-2). No single observation tool is appropriate for all clinical settings. The observation tool is meant to support application of the DSM-5 criteria informed by history and other data.

The ADOS-2 was developed to elicit typical social language and behaviors. With the ADOS-2, modules are specific for use across the age span of toddlers to adults. The ADOS-2 requires intensive training to accurately administer and score and takes 30 to 45 minutes to administer. It is often a component of both research and clinical evaluations. The information obtained from the ADOS-2 is used by the clinician in conjunction with the history of peer interactions, social relationships, and functional impairment from symptoms to determine if the DSM-5 criteria are met. The CARS-2 is another structured approach a clinician might use to support a clinical diagnosis of ASD. The clinician completes a 15-point scale that is based on history and observation. The ADOS-2, CARS-2, and SRS (Parent and Teacher) all rate children similarly in approximately half of identified cases. The integration of historical information and objective observation by a clinician trained to diagnose autism and related conditions to inform the DSM-5 diagnostic criteria is the
critical element to diagnostic evaluation.

**Evaluation of Co-occurring Developmental Conditions**

Patients with ASD may have intellectual disabilities, learning disabilities, ADHD, anxiety disorders, or speech and language disorders, among others. These conditions may influence the presentation of the symptoms of ASD. These conditions may influence the presentation of symptoms of ASD and may influence the social and functional impairment of the individual in different ways at different ages. Valid assessment of cognitive and language ability is an important component of the diagnostic evaluation. In the United States, early intervention services and school systems will evaluate children in these domains to assess educational needs. In some areas, initial evaluations are performed in clinical settings and paid for by insurance.

**Cognitive Testing**

A range of standardized measures are used to determine developmental levels of younger children and IQ in children older than 3 years. The intelligence test selected by the psychologist will depend on the age and language level of the child. Administration of a valid cognitive test is important in ascribing symptoms to ASD as part of the initial diagnosis but also helps to establish co-occurring diagnoses with ASD, such as intellectual disability. There are valid tests that can be used in children who are nonverbal. Although the prevalence of a diagnosis of ASD is increased in children with an intellectual disability, other children diagnosed with intellectual disability may have some symptoms of ASD without meeting diagnostic criteria for the disorder.

**Language Testing**

Inherent in the core symptoms of ASD are differences in the use of verbal and nonverbal communication for social interaction. Formal assessment of communication by a speech or language pathologist at the time of diagnosis should include the documentation of expressive and receptive language skills as well as the pragmatic or conversational use of language.

**Adaptive Function Testing**

A caregiver report and/or teacher report of adaptive functioning complements objective cognitive testing. Determining the extent that ASD affects daily function is necessary to establish eligibility for some publicly funded programs as well as to identify and monitor developmental goals for treatment. Adaptive behaviors are typically delayed in people who have intellectual disability with ASD but can be impaired in people with ASD and an average-range IQ. Commonly used adaptive measures include the Vineland Adaptive Behavior Scales and the Adaptive Behavior Assessment System.

**Motor Assessment**

Children with ASD are more likely to have mild delays in gross motor skills and coordination compared with children in the general population and may meet DSM-5 criteria for developmental coordination disorder in addition to ASD. General screening tests or adaptive measures may suggest motor delays that would benefit from formal evaluation by an occupational or physical therapist. A relationship of early motor delays and subsequent language and adaptive development in children with ASD has been proposed.

**Sensory Assessment: Vision**

Visual function should be considered in the initial evaluation of children who are visually inattentive, have stereotypical behaviors (such as eye poking or close visual scrutiny), or do not make eye contact. Decreased visual acuity may affect interactive gaze and require accommodations in the educational setting. Children with visual impairment may also demonstrate stereotyped motor behaviors.

**Sensory Assessment: Sensory Processing**

The DSM-5 includes sensory symptoms in the diagnostic criteria for ASD. The DSM-5 does not include sensory processing disorder as a discrete diagnosis. Commonly used evaluation tools (such as the Short Sensory Profile and others) quantify parent perception of sensory differences relative to smell, taste, vision, hearing, and touch. In addition to capturing what is conventionally considered as a sensory disturbance, questionnaires that are used to assess sensory symptoms also capture motor hyperactivity and hypoactivity as sensory-seeking or sensory-avoiding behaviors. These latter symptoms may reflect co-occurring ADHD. Sensory symptoms may be more evident at younger ages and may define subtypes of the disorder.

**SECTION 4: ETIOLOGIC EVALUATION**

Children with a diagnosis of ASD should be assessed for potential etiology and common coexisting medical conditions. At the time of the 2007 AAP clinical reports on autism, karyotype and DNA testing for fragile X syndrome were the state-of-the-art...
etologic investigations. Soon thereafter, chromosomal microarray (CMA) was endorsed by the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry as the most appropriate initial test for etiologic evaluation of children with ASD.107–110 Despite rapid technological advances in neuroimaging and other areas, many of the recommendations for clinical evaluation published in 2007 are unchanged. This section summarizes recent advances in understanding the etiologies of ASD and how they translate into recommendations for clinical practice.

**Medical Workup of the Child With ASD**

**Genetic Testing**

Advances such as the development of CMA and next-generation sequencing technologies and the application of these technologies to well-characterized patient cohorts have led to progress in the understanding of the complex genetics of ASD and other neurodevelopmental disorders in the last decade. Identifying a genetic etiology provides clinicians with more information for families about prognosis and recurrence risk and may help to identify and treat or prevent co-occurring medical conditions, guide patients and families to condition-specific resources and supports, and avoid ordering unnecessary tests (Table 7).111–117 Most parents find this information to be useful.118 As research progresses, genetic testing may contribute to identifying effective interventions related to specific etiologies.

Etiologic investigation begins with a careful medical, developmental-behavioral, and family history and a thorough physical and neurologic examination.109 The history should include potential prenatal exposure to teratogens (such as medications, alcohol, drugs) and other factors that increase risk for ASD.109,119 The physical examination should include assessment of growth relative to typical curves (including head circumference), dysmorphic features, organomegaly, skin manifestations of neurocutaneous disorders (eg, tuberous sclerosis and neurofibromatosis), and neurologic abnormalities.109 Genetic evaluation should be recommended and offered to all families as part of the etiologic workup. A stepwise general approach is provided in Table 8 as a practical guideline.110,120 The presence of dysmorphic features or intellectual disability is generally associated with

**TABLE 7 Potential Benefits of Establishing a Genetic Etiologic Diagnosis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improving accuracy of counseling provided to patients and families:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Prognosis or expected clinical course</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Recurrence risk for the family and the individual affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Providing condition-specific family support, such as:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Improving psychosocial outcomes for patients and their families (eg, knowledge and sense of empowerment, parental quality of life)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventing morbidity and treating medical conditions associated with the genotype, such as:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Conditions or anomalies likely to be present at diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Conditions that may develop later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refining treatment options, including:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Avoiding therapeutic interventions that may be based on unfounded etiologic theories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Avoiding ineffective or potentially harmful treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Providing access to emerging etiology-specific treatments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitating acquisition of needed services and access to research treatment protocols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoiding additional diagnostic tests, which may be unnecessary, expensive, and/or uncomfortable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**TABLE 8 Genetic Etiologic Investigations in Patients With ASD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Genetic Etiologic Investigations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Consider referral for pediatric genetics evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Comprehensive history (including 3-generation family history with emphasis on individuals with ASD and other developmental, behavioral and/or psychiatric, and neurologic diagnoses) Physical examination (including dysmorphology, growth parameters [including head circumference], and skin examination) If syndrome diagnosis or metabolic disorder is suspected, go back to step 1 (genetics and/or metabolism referral) and/or order the appropriate targeted testing Otherwise, proceed to step 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Laboratory studies Discuss and offer CMA analysis Discuss and offer fragile X analysis; if family history is suggestive of sex-linked intellectual disabilities, refer to genetics for additional testing If patient is a girl, consider evaluation for Rett syndrome, MECP2 testing If these studies do not reveal the etiology, proceed to step 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Consider referral to genetics, workup might include WES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

increased likelihood of finding a genetic abnormality. However, authors of some clinical studies have identified similar yield for genetic testing in children without these risk factors.

In some cases, individuals with clinical genetic syndromes, such as fragile X syndrome, tuberous sclerosis complex, and others (such as those described in Supplemental Table 13), also meet criteria for ASD. When a specific syndrome or metabolic disorder is suspected, the clinician should proceed with the appropriate targeted testing or referral to a pediatric geneticist or neurologist. For example, a girl with significant developmental delays, deceleration in head growth velocity, and characteristic midline hand movements should prompt genetic testing for deletion or duplication of MECP2, the gene implicated in Rett syndrome.  

Another specific example would be a boy with ASD with marked macrocephaly and pigmented macules on the penis, findings that would warrant sequencing and deletion or duplication analysis of the PTEN gene. Descriptions of these and other clinical syndromes associated with ASD are provided in Supplemental Table 13.  

CMA is recommended if the etiology for developmental disability is not known. CMA identifies copy number variants (CNVs) at this time, which are DNA duplications or deletions that alter the function of genes (Table 8, step 2). CMA reveals a definitively pathogenic CNV in 5.4% to 14% (median 9%) of individuals with ASD in clinical samples. When CNVs of uncertain significance are included, approximately 17% to 42% of patients with ASD have findings on the CMA. Some of the variants of uncertain significance may be determined as pathogenic in the future. The most commonly identified recurrent pathogenic CNVs among individuals with ASD are provided in Supplemental Table 14.  

Because fragile X syndrome increases risk for ASD, DNA testing for fragile X syndrome should be recommended for all children with ASD, but especially for boys and children with a suggestive family history of male members with intellectual disability. Physical examination might reveal the common features of a large head size, prominent jaw, large ears, ligamentous laxity, and, in male patients, large testes after puberty. The cytosine-guanine-guanine trinucleotide repeat expansion that is responsible for fragile X syndrome is not detected on CMA and must be ordered as a separate test. The current estimate is that approximately 0.45% of individuals with ASD have the full mutation for fragile X syndrome, and many of them are female. Because fragile X syndrome testing is relatively inexpensive and the condition has important genetic counseling implications, it is reasonable to consider testing both male and female patients with ASD, at least until more data become available to clarify the issue.  

When the history and physical examination, CMA, and fragile X analysis do not identify an etiology, the next step at this time in the etiologic evaluation for ASD is whole-exome sequencing (WES). WES technology allows for the identification of single-nucleotide variants, including pathogenic loss-of-function mutations and missense mutations, which have been found to be associated with ASD. Examples of ASD risk genes identified or confirmed in WES studies are provided in Supplemental Table 15. As with other tests, clinicians ordering this test should be familiar with both pretest counseling and interpretation of the results. A genetic counselor is helpful in explaining the reason for testing as well as the results. Large clinical WES studies have consistently been used to identify a molecular diagnosis in 26% to 29% of individuals for whom neurodevelopmental disorders were the primary indication for testing. Authors of studies of clinical populations with ASD have reported diagnostic yields of 8% to 20%. The yield of WES is higher when both the parents and the child who is affected are evaluated to allow for comparison of the child with parents who are unaffected.  

Some geographic areas may have limited availability of pediatric subspecialists (eg, in genetics or metabolism) who can guide the genetic workup, so primary care providers may be in the position to consider and direct etiologic evaluation. The complexity of genetic testing is such that most primary care providers may want to consult with a specialist to plan testing and interpret results. The clinical etiologic evaluation should be tailored to the individual patient, taking into consideration information from the history and physical examination and the values and wishes of the family. The stepwise general approach summarized in Table 8 can be used to guide this process.  

It is important for families to understand that genetic tests may explain the cause of their child’s ASD or provide information about the statistical risk of ASD, but they are not diagnostic of ASD; the diagnosis of ASD is made on the basis of clinical symptoms. Unlike CMA and WES, commercially marketed tests may not have the potential to provide a molecular etiologic diagnosis. Genomic testing technology is evolving rapidly, as is our understanding of the genetic architecture of ASD, and these recommendations for testing will need to be updated as new studies are published. For example, it is anticipated that CMA and WES will soon be combined because of
improvements in accurate identification of CNVs using sequence data and that sequencing of the exome will be replaced by sequencing of the entire genome as issues with interpretation and cost become more manageable.121,130,147–150

Parents of a child with ASD should be counseled regarding recurrence risk in subsequent offspring, and the nature of the counseling depends greatly on whether a specific genetic cause of the child’s ASD has been identified. When a specific genetic etiology has been determined, the family can be provided with information about the risk of recurrence in subsequent offspring. However, when genetic testing has not been completed or has not revealed the etiology of the child’s ASD, recurrence risk counseling is based on group averages derived from the existing literature. For a couple with 1 child with ASD of unknown cause, the current best estimate of recurrence in a subsequent child is approximately 10% (range 4%–14%).151–153. If a couple already has ≥2 children with ASD of unknown etiology (idiopathic), the chance of a subsequent child having ASD may be as high as 32% to 36%.151,154 However, the risk is not limited to ASD. Siblings of children with ASD who do not have ASD themselves may have a 20% to 25% risk for language disorders and other neurodevelopmental and psychiatric disorders.152,155,156

**Neuroimaging**

Specific clinical neuroimaging findings are not more prevalent in ASD compared with other neurodevelopmental disorders, nor do specific abnormalities correlate with clinical, etiologic, or pathophysiological aspects of ASD.120,157,158 Incidental findings are common in neuroimaging studies obtained in the workup of children diagnosed with ASD but rarely provide etiologic information or require intervention.159,160 The need for clinical MRI should be directed by a history and physical examination. MRI may be indicated in the evaluation of atypical regression, microcephaly, macrocephaly, seizures, intracranial manifestations of genetic disorders, abnormal neurologic examination, or other clinical indications.76,109,161,162 Imaging technology used to examine brain structure and function provides valuable insight into the neurobiology of ASD in research settings and may lead to useful clinical applications in the future.

**Metabolic Testing**

The yield of routine metabolic testing for children with ASD is low and not recommended for regular use.163–167 However, large population-based studies are lacking, so accurate prevalence and diagnostic yield estimates are not available. Metabolic workup should be informed by history, family history, symptoms, and examination and might include measurement of fasting plasma amino acid levels, urine organic acid levels, and acylcarnitine metabolite levels and other testing for specific metabolic disorders. History of atypical regressions (later than 2 years of age, motor regression, or multiple regressions), family history of early childhood death or diagnosed metabolic disorders, and physical features, such as significant hypotonia or weakness, visual and hearing impairment, and dysmorphic features, would suggest consultation with a specialist to guide evaluation for metabolic or mitochondrial disorders.109,168 Children who present with motor delay should be evaluated with creatine kinase and thyroid-stimulating hormone testing, according to AAP recommendations.7,49 Although metabolic disorders are uncommon causes of ASD, the potential impact is high because treatment may be available and the inheritance pattern may be known.109,124 Examples of metabolic conditions that may be associated with an ASD phenotype are provided in Supplemental Table 16. There is no evidence at this time for routine testing of hair, blood, or urine for environmental toxins or heavy metals outside of laboratory screening for lead exposure.169

**EEG**

Children with ASD have an increased risk for seizures, and EEG abnormalities are common in the absence of clinical seizures (see Seizures section for more information).170–175 However, EEG is not recommended as a routine baseline evaluation in the absence of clinical concern about seizures, atypical regression, or other neurologic symptoms on history or examination that would suggest an EEG is indicated.161,170,172,176 Late or atypical loss of language, as might be observed in electrical status epilepticus of sleep with loss of language, should be evaluated with an overnight EEG.161,170,172,176 Primary care clinicians should discuss the increased risk and the signs and symptoms of seizures with the families of children diagnosed with ASD, maintain a high index of clinical suspicion for seizures, and consult with a pediatric neurologist when concerned about atypical regression or the possibility of seizures.170,172,176

**The Biology of ASD**

**Genetics and ASD**

ASD is clinically and etiologically heterogeneous yet highly heritable. The rate of ASD in siblings is much higher than the rate in the general population. Twin studies demonstrate substantially higher concordance rates for symptoms of ASD in monozygotic twins than in dizygotic twins.177 A meta-analysis involving 6413 twin pairs revealed a 98% concordance in monozygotic twins, a 53% to 67% concordance in dizygotic twins, and heritability estimates from 64% to 91%.177,178
Siblings may also be at risk for symptoms related to ASD that do not meet the threshold for a diagnosis of ASD and have been described as the broader autism phenotype.141,179 These data provide strong evidence for a genetic contribution to ASD risk.180–184

Risk for ASD also is increased in the children of both older fathers and older mothers.185–187 The increased risk with parental age may be related to germline mutations in older fathers.143,188 Mechanisms mediating the effect of advancing maternal age on ASD risk are less clear.143 Increased maternal and paternal age are independently associated with ASD risk, and a joint effect seems to occur as well.185–187

Important aspects of the genetics of ASD are still poorly understood, including the role of common variants, epistasis (gene-gene interactions), and environmental modification of genotype effects. In contrast, advances such as CMA and next-generation sequencing technologies have resulted in identification of large-effect (pathogenic) rare variants that appear to be causally associated with ASD, including CNVs, which are deletions or duplications ≥1000 bp in size that alter the dosage of genes, and sequence-nucleotide variants.189–192 Pathogenic rare variants may arise de novo or be inherited as autosomal dominant, autosomal recessive, or X-linked mutations. Researchers of CMA and WES studies have established that although de novo and inherited rare variants of large effect size are collectively common, no individual pathogenic variant accounts for more than 1% of cases of ASD.* Genes that contribute to ASD are involved in a variety of biological functions, with convergence on aspects of brain development and function, including synaptic structure and function, intracellular signaling, transcription regulation, and chromatin remodeling.139,190,191 It is important to note that no specific mutation has been identified that is unique to ASD; there is substantial genetic overlap between ASD and other neurodevelopmental disorders, including intellectual disability, epilepsy, and schizophrenia.197–204

**Genes, Environmental Exposures, and ASD**

The potential environmental factors that may be related to increased reported prevalence of ASD are an area of active study that, as yet, is without firm conclusions.110 Environmental factors associated with ASD include in utero exposure to medications such as valproate and thalidomide. Other prenatal influences, such as short interpregnancy interval, multiple gestation, maternal obesity, gestational bleeding, gestational diabetes, advanced parental age, and infections (eg, rubella and cytomegalovirus), may be associated with increased risk for ASD.205–209 Perinatal factors, such as preterm birth, low birth weight, fetal growth restriction (ie, small for gestational age), intrapartum hypoxia, and neonatal encephalopathy, are associated with increased ASD risk.205,210–212 Environmental factors may present independent risk to prenatal brain development or may affect gene function in individuals with genetic predisposition.213 Population-level associations with ASD have been examined for organophosphates and certain other pesticides, metals, volatile organic compounds, and air pollution, particularly particulate matter and nitrogen dioxide.214–216 Research on environmental exposures may be of great importance in identifying modifiable risk factors related to ASD and other developmental disorders. It is prudent to limit exposure of children and pregnant women to known neurotoxicants.

**Genes, Immunologic Exposures, and ASD**

It has been proposed that children with ASD-associated CNVs may be more susceptible to environmental insult in the form of maternal immune activation. Report of maternal infection or fever during pregnancy may be associated with increased severity of ASD-related symptoms in offspring who are affected.217 The pathogenic role of circulating maternal antibodies directed to fetal brain tissue and the potential value of maternal antibody panels as biomarkers of ASD are currently being studied.218–222 Unless otherwise indicated (eg, history suggestive of autoimmune or immunologic disorder), no immune testing is recommended in the etiologic workup of a child with ASD.

**Epigenetics**

Epigenetic modifications, such as DNA methylation and posttranslational histone modification, produce heritable changes in gene expression that do not involve a change in the DNA sequence. Some genetic disorders associated with ASD (eg, Rett syndrome; CHARGE syndrome; 15q duplication; Angelman syndrome; and fragile X syndrome), involve genes that either encode epigenetic regulators or are sensitive to alterations in their epigenetic regulation.223,224 Because epigenetic modifications can be influenced by environmental factors, such as prenatal maternal exposures and postnatal experience, they represent 1 interface between genes and environment. However, epigenetic modifications are not the only mechanisms by which gene expression is regulated, and epigenetics should not be conflated with the broader category of environmental effects.223,225 Currently, the evidence that alteration of gene expression by environmental factors plays a causal role in ASD is very limited.223–228 Investigation of
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* Refs 121, 144, 189–195.
the role of epigenetic and other nongenetic modifications that alter gene activity without changing the DNA sequence is an active area of etiologic research in ASD.

Vaccines
The scientific literature does not support an association of vaccination as an environmental factor that increases the risk for ASD. Children with ASD should be vaccinated according to the recommended schedule. Epidemiological studies do not demonstrate any association of the measles-mumps-rubella vaccine, mercury exposure by thimerosal-containing vaccines, aluminum in vaccines, or increased level of immunologic exposure attributable to a larger number of vaccines (either given at 1 time or cumulatively) with ASD.\textsuperscript{214,229–246} Vaccines used for children in the United States have not contained thimerosal since 2001. The authors of a 2012 Cochrane review\textsuperscript{234} and a 2014 quantitative meta-analysis of pooled data from cohort studies involving 1256407 children and case-control studies involving 9920 children reviewed the scientific literature and came to this conclusion.\textsuperscript{231} Evidence implicating immunizations as a “second hit” conferring ASD risk in genetically susceptible subgroups is lacking. It has been shown that the measles-mumps-rubella vaccine is not associated with increased risk for ASD, even among children who are already at higher risk because of having an older sibling with ASD.\textsuperscript{229} Media coverage of vaccine issues may inflate the perception of uncertainty by equal coverage of vaccine proponents and opponents. The overwhelming weight of evidence supports vaccine safety.\textsuperscript{247} Communicating information about vaccine safety is a critical component of pediatric practice.\textsuperscript{248}

Brain Structure and ASD: Neuropathology
Neuropathological research has been limited by the small number of postmortem brains available for study. Developmental brain abnormalities in people with ASD are reported in the cerebral neocortex; limbic system structures, including the hippocampal formation and amygdala; basal ganglia; thalamus; brainstem; and cerebellum. These brain abnormalities include dysplasia, altered neurogenesis, and abnormal neuronal migration.\textsuperscript{249–251} The vast majority of abnormalities described originate during prenatal brain development.\textsuperscript{249,251,252} Findings in the cerebral cortex may include focal disruption of neuronal migration, minicolumnar abnormalities, and variations in neuronal density.\textsuperscript{249,251,252} A decreased number of Purkinje cells in the cerebellum is 1 of the most consistently reported neuropathologic findings associated with ASD. Although it was initially thought to be of prenatal onset, evidence now indicates that this phenomenon is more likely to be an acquired process that occurs postnatally, potentially related to seizures, medications, and/or ischemia near the time of death or factors other than ASD.\textsuperscript{249} No uniform neuropathology has been identified in people with ASD.

Biomarkers
Objectively measured biological characteristics, or biomarkers, of ASD could potentially be used to predict ASD risk, enhance screening, and permit presymptomatic detection. Their use could improve the reliability and validity of clinical diagnosis (identifying clinically meaningful subgroups that would allow for prediction of prognosis or treatment response), identify mechanisms for developing treatment, and confirm the need for a specific intervention.\textsuperscript{221,253–255}

Early Brain Overgrowth
Cross-sectional and longitudinal studies suggest that as a group, children later diagnosed with ASD may have an average or below-average head circumference at birth, with an acceleration in brain growth before 2 years of age.\textsuperscript{256} This rapid brain growth leads to significantly above-average head circumferences and MRI brain volumes in toddlers, followed by a plateau in brain growth, with brain volumes in adolescence and adulthood similar to those of controls.\textsuperscript{257,258} Almost 16% of young children with ASD have a head circumference greater than the 97th percentile.\textsuperscript{258} A preliminary study suggested that infant siblings of children with ASD who exhibited a larger head circumference at 12 months and showed more slowing of head circumference growth from 12 to 24 months had an increased chance of demonstrating symptoms of ASD.\textsuperscript{259,260} Although this finding raises the possibility that patterns of brain growth might be used for early identification, the rate of head growth did not predict which infants developed ASD in the first 3 years of life in a large prospective study of high-risk infants.\textsuperscript{261} It is possible that a large head size is unrelated to ASD and/or may be part of general somatic overgrowth.\textsuperscript{262–265}

Neuroimaging Patterns Associated With ASD in Research Studies
Although there are conflicting findings, structural MRI volumetric studies suggest that young children with ASD differ from controls in total brain volume, cortical gray and white matter volume (particularly frontal, temporal, and cingulate cortices), extraaxial cerebral spinal fluid volume, and amygdala volume.\textsuperscript{266–271} A research-level analysis also has identified asymmetries in multiple brain structures in people with ASD.\textsuperscript{272} Diffusion tensor imaging has been used to identify altered patterns in white matter by 6 months of age in
Infants later diagnosed with ASD. Functional MRI has demonstrated differences in people with ASD relative to controls in efficiency of visual processing, executive function, language, and basic and complex social processing skills. Functional MRI in research settings demonstrate differences in the mechanisms of attention to social stimuli, modulation in response to task demands or intensity of stimuli, and executive function in people with ASD. Functional underconnectivity has also been demonstrated across a wide variety of the brain regions that support language, executive function, social cognition, emotion processing, and motor tasks, especially for long-range, frontal-posterior networks.

**Electrophysiologic Testing and Measurement of Eye Tracking**

Electrophysiologic research studies demonstrate differences in auditory processing (including language processing), visual processing (including face processing), somatosensory response, multisensory integration, attentional shifting, selective attention, recognition memory, and neural connectivity in people with ASD. Continuous measures of resting-state and task-related quantitative EEG are used to calculate and describe spectral power, complexity, and coherence. Although promising, the clinical utility of these measures as biomarkers requires additional study. Eye tracking has been used to determine if infants who are younger siblings of children with ASD and, therefore, at increased risk for ASD exhibit differences in fixation on faces. Preliminary evidence suggests that infants later diagnosed with ASD exhibit a decline in gaze fixation from age 2 to age 6 months.

**Other Potential Biomarkers**

Although some studies have attempted to differentiate people with and without ASD on the basis of differences in laboratory profiles of platelet serotonin, plasma melatonin, urine melatonin sulfate, redox status, placental trophoblast inclusions, and immune function, currently no diagnostic laboratory tests have been approved for ASD. To date, none of these potential biomarkers under study have sufficient evidence to be recommended.

**Biomarkers: Future Directions**

Proposed biomarkers for ASD risk include genetic and biochemical findings in blood, urine, or brain tissue; placental pathology; maternal autoantibody profiles; structural and functional MRI patterns; electrophysiologic test results on EEG, including event-related potentials; responses in eye tracking; and physical parameters such as head circumference growth trajectory. Although none of these proposed biomarkers has demonstrated sufficient predictive validity for clinical use at this time, the search for biomarkers is a major research focus. Biomarker research has important ethical issues and concerns have appropriately been raised regarding premature translation of research data into commercially available tests marketed to patients and families. However, the capabilities to screen large numbers of bioactive compounds, examine the entire genome, and simultaneously analyze large data sets have accelerated research into the neurobiology of ASD and may result in the identification of valid biomarkers.

**SECTION 5: INTERVENTIONS**

The goals of treatment of children with ASD are to (1) minimize core deficits (social communication and interaction and restricted or repetitive behaviors and interests) and co-occurring associated impairments; (2) maximize functional independence by facilitating learning and acquisition of adaptive skills; and (3) eliminate, minimize, or prevent problem behaviors that may interfere with functional skills. Treatments should be individualized, developmentally appropriate, and intensive, with performance data relevant to treatment goals to evaluate and adjust intervention. All interventions should be based on sound theoretical constructs, rigorous methodologies, and objective scientific evidence of effectiveness. Since the publication of the 2007 AAP clinical reports on autism, a substantial published literature has examined the effectiveness of interventions. Legal mandates in education law in the United States, which include the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 (IDEA) (Public Law 108-446) and the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (Public Law 107-110) and its successor, the Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (Public Law 114-95), require the use of practices supported by scientifically based research (IDEA and the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001) or evidence-based practices (Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015) (https://www.ed.gov/). Early intervention services under part C of IDEA provide for assessment and intervention for children younger than 3 years with developmental delays, including ASD.

Interventions for children with ASD are provided through educational practices, developmental therapies, and behavioral interventions. Treatment strategies may vary by the age and strengths and weaknesses of the child. For example, intervention for a toddler with a recent diagnosis of ASD may include behavioral and developmental approaches (individually or in the context of
Wong et al described 2 categories of evidence-based interventions, the comprehensive treatment model (CTM) and focused interventions. These interventions may be provided in different settings (eg, the home, classroom, naturalistic environment, or community), by different providers (eg, developmental specialist, behavioral therapist, educator, or trained parent), individually or in group settings, and by using a set curriculum or guide.

The CTM uses a central conceptual framework to address a broad array of symptoms and is designed to address specific skill(s) or symptom(s). A CTM should be replicable, intense, and designed to address multiple therapeutic goals over a period of time. Provision of services may occur in individual instruction or class settings (specialized or inclusive), should include parents, and may involve technology-assisted intervention.

Applied behavior analysis (ABA), developmental approaches, and/or naturalistic approaches may be used in CTMs. Examples of CTMs include early intensive behavioral intervention, Treatment and Education of Autistic and Related Communication-Handicapped Children (TEACCH), and the Early Start Denver Model (ESDM). Focused intervention practices are designed to address a single or limited range of skills, such as increasing social communication or learning a specific task, and may be delivered over a shorter period of time. Focused intervention practices may be behavioral, developmental, and/or educational. Focused interventions may be grounded in principles of ABA, in which specific skills are taught in a stepwise progression by using principles of reinforcement or developmental theory, in which the emerging skills inherent in neurobehavioral maturation are promoted. These interventions are provided in a structured setting by an adult, in naturalistic environments with peers, or as a component of a more comprehensive approach. Focused interventions may be effective for promoting skill development and communication.

Pediatricians may be asked to advise families on therapy choices or write prescriptions for therapies. It is helpful for clinicians to have an understanding of intervention terminology and of the evidence base so they can effectively communicate the rationale for medically indicated treatment recommendations with families, educators, therapists, and other service providers as well as with insurance companies, health care administrators, funding agencies, and policy makers.

This report describes various types of interventions provided for children and youth with ASD. Additional research is needed to evaluate the effectiveness of current approaches and develop interventions that address core deficits of ASD. At the time of diagnosis, parents of young preschool children may ask their pediatrician to help them decide what type of intervention they should elect. Two common theoretical approaches to intervention for symptoms of ASD are ABA and developmental models. Although these approaches have important distinctions, they also have significant overlap, and interventions increasingly are incorporating aspects of both. There is considerable regional variation in the availability of various interventions. Table 9 describes common characteristics of empirically supported interventions.

**Approaches to Intervention**

**ABA**

Most evidence-based treatment models are based on principles of ABA. ABA has been defined as “the...
process of systematically applying interventions based upon the principles of learning theory to improve socially significant behaviors to a meaningful degree, and to demonstrate that the interventions employed are responsible for the improvement in behavior.” The use of ABA methods to treat symptoms of ASD suggests that behaviors exhibited can be altered by programmatic reinforcing skills related to communication and other skill acquisition. Thus, ABA treatments may target development of new skills (eg, social engagement) and/or minimize behaviors (eg, aggression) that may interfere with a child’s progress.

ABA interventions vary from highly structured adult-directed approaches (eg, discrete trial training or instruction, verbal behavior applications, and others) to interventions in natural environments that may be child led and implemented in the context of play activities or daily routines and activities and are altered on the basis of the child’s skill development (eg, pivotal response training, reciprocal imitation training, and others). To determine what intervention is most appropriate, the behavioral clinician works with the family and child to determine which skills to target for development and maintenance and what goals are appropriate.

ABA programs are typically designed and supervised by professionals certified in behavior analysis. The majority of states at this time have licensure for board-certified behavior analysts with provisions for payment by insurance. ABA may be prescribed or recommended by a physician or licensed psychologist.

A comprehensive ABA approach for younger children, also known as early intensive behavioral intervention, is supported by a few randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and a substantial single-subject literature. When only RCTs are considered, few interventions have sufficient evidence to be endorsed either for children younger than 12 years or for adolescents. Children younger than 12 years receiving more hours per week of ABA were found to be more likely to achieve the individualized goals identified in their programs. In retrospective studies, more intense ABA therapy was associated with achieving optimal developmental outcomes. Given the heterogeneity of the ASD phenotype, the service needs of children, youth, and adults need to be individualized by using available clinical data.

In some instances, a behavioral intervention is needed to address acute serious problem behaviors that
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**TABLE 9 Characteristics of Effective Interventions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Features of Practice</th>
<th>Common Characteristics of Empirically Supported Interventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assessment and goals</td>
<td>Systematically assess skills&lt;br&gt;Include input of family (shared decision-making)&lt;br&gt;Select individualized measurable goals and instructional procedures on the basis of objective assessment of each child&lt;br&gt;Use assessment-based, empirically supported instructional methods to build, generalize, and maintain skills and reduce problem behaviors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructional methods</td>
<td>Address core symptoms in social communication and restricted and repetitive behaviors as well as skill deficits&lt;br&gt;Provide a student/teacher ratio low enough to address the child’s individualized goals&lt;br&gt;Interventions should be by providers who are properly trained and should maintain fidelity with the treatment approach selected&lt;br&gt;Ensure that multiple providers work collaboratively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Services and supports</td>
<td>Individualize services and support&lt;br&gt;Make use of the child’s interests and preferences in determining reinforcement systems&lt;br&gt;Incorporate preferred activities to increase engagement in activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Provide a structured learning environment that helps children anticipate transition between activities, including a predictable routine and visual activity schedules&lt;br&gt;Organize workspaces to minimize distraction and promote task completion&lt;br&gt;Limit access to things that may distract a student&lt;br&gt;The environment should promote opportunities for the student to initiate communication and interact with peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral management</td>
<td>Implement a functional behavioral analysis to identify the reasons why challenging behaviors occur and develop a behavior improvement plan based on this assessment (IDEA-mandated approach)&lt;br&gt;Teach children more appropriate responses using the behavior improvement plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progress</td>
<td>Systematically measure and document the individual child’s progress&lt;br&gt;Teach children more appropriate responses using the behavior improvement plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family support</td>
<td>Involve and educate families so they can use the behavioral strategies at home and in the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transition planning</td>
<td>Plan for transitions in school settings and to adulthood (eg, from home-based early intervention to preschool services, preschool to elementary school, elementary school to middle school, middle school to high school, high school to work or postsecondary education, and home to community living)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

must be given priority, for example, because of safety issues. Whether a student is getting formal ABA under IDEA or not, a family can request that challenging behaviors be evaluated in the school setting by using behavioral principles through a functional behavioral assessment. The target symptoms to treat may then be divided into component parts that are addressed in a stepwise fashion (task analysis). Once the reasons for the behavior are understood, a behavior improvement plan may be implemented.

**Developmental Relationship–Focused Interventions**

Intervention for young children also may be derived from developmental theory, which is focused on the relationship between the caregiver’s level of responsiveness and the child’s development of social communication. Through interaction with others, children learn to communicate and regulate emotions and establish a foundation for increasingly complex thinking and social interaction. Therefore, developmental models designed to promote social development in children with ASD are focused on the relationship between the child with ASD and his or her caregiver through coaching to help increase responsiveness to the adult (ie, the interventionist or parent or caregiver) through imitating, expanding on, or joining into child-initiated play activities. This approach may address core symptoms of ASD, such as joint attention, imitation, and affective social engagement.

Developmental models for intervention are focused on teaching adults to engage in nondirective interactive strategies to foster interaction and development of communication in the context of play. One such approach is known as DIRFloortime (The Developmental, Individual Differences, and Relationship-Based model). In 1 RCT comparing parent coaching using this approach to community intervention alone (N = 112) in children ages 2 to 5 years, parents who were taught this approach were less directive, and their children were rated as more socially responsive, although IQ and language scores were no different between groups, and half of the children in the control group improved in their affective ratings. A similar approach is relationship development intervention, and more research is needed to evaluate efficacy and community use.

**Naturalistic Developmental Behavioral Intervention**

Naturalistic developmental behavioral interventions (NDBIs) incorporate elements of ABA and developmental principles, such as emphasis on developmentally based learning targets and foundational social learning skills, with delivery of interventions in the context of naturally occurring social activities within natural environments. They use child-initiated teaching episodes, naturally occurring opportunities for learning, and turn-taking interactions within play routines and implement ABA-based approaches to address measurable goals.

The most extensively studied NDBI approach is the ESDM, which prepares children to learn in naturalistic environments. In a multisite trial of ESDM, early age at entry to therapy and more hours of total therapy were associated with improved outcome. Of note, the 48 children randomly assigned to ESDM or community treatment in the original trial were studied by using event-related potentials and spectral power on EEG while viewing faces as opposed to objects and were compared with typical controls on these tasks. This is an early demonstration of improvement on a neurophysiologic measure associated with improvement on a clinical measure of social behavior through an early intervention program.

**Combined Approaches**

Common factors in combined developmental and behavioral approaches include use of principles of ABA to reinforce skill building; a systematic approach with a manual for training practitioners who would use the intervention in a standard fashion; individualized treatment goals for the child and means of measuring progress; child-initiated teaching, imitation, and modeling; and adult prompting that fades over time to promote independence. It may be difficult to advise parents on specific programs in community settings because the way the program is conducted may differ from the research settings. However, it is always accurate to describe the common characteristics of empirically supported interventions and recommend that families seek interventions that incorporate these features (Table 9).

**Parent-Mediated Treatment or Parent Management Training**

Increasing evidence reveals that focused interventions delivered by trained parents or other caregivers can be an important part of a therapeutic program. More RCTs have been published on parent-mediated therapies than on other nonpharmacologic interventions. What is sometimes called parent management training is divided into 2 categories: parent support and parent-mediated interventions. Parent support interventions, which are knowledge-focused and provide indirect benefit to the child, include care coordination and psychoeducation. Parent-mediated interventions, which are technique-focused and provide direct benefit to the child, may target core symptoms of ASD or other behaviors or skills and may be built on ABA approaches in natural settings.
Training sessions for caregivers may be delivered in the home, clinic, school, or other community settings or remotely by telehealth. An RCT involving 86 toddlers and their primary caregivers demonstrated that 10 weeks of hands-on parent training in joint attention, symbolic play, engagement and regulation (an NDBI) was superior to a parent-only psychoeducational intervention for increasing joint engagement. A parent training approach may be used to promote compliance with instruction, social communication, and other identified goals of the caregiver, such as reducing maladaptive behaviors. Including parents in the intervention process is critically important.

**Educational Interventions**

**Classroom-Based Models**

It is the expectation that school-aged children will be educated in classroom settings with supports for a broad effect on the symptoms of ASD and associated deficits. Educating students with ASD in the least restrictive environment typically requires an individualized program that is modified to meet the Individualized Education Program (IEP) goals set by the family, student, and school team. Some students who do not qualify for an IEP by educational criteria may be supported with accommodations through a Section 504 plan or with classroom-level accommodations. Many students with ASD are educated in inclusive classrooms with supports. Other school-aged children and youth benefit from disorder-specific approaches. Examples of classroom-based models include Learning Experiences and Alternative Programs for Preschoolers and their Parents (LEAP) and TEACCH.

LEAP blends principles of ABA with special and general education teaching techniques for elementary-aged pupils in inclusive settings for teaching social interaction. An RCT of 294 preschool-aged children revealed that LEAP was associated with improvement in socialization, cognition, language, and challenging behavior and that LEAP was superior to a treatment-as-usual method. TEACCH class settings are visually organized to promote engagement and learning. The TEACCH approach to skill acquisition includes assessment-based curriculum development and an emphasis on structure, including predictable organization of activities and use of visual schedules, organization of the physical environment to optimize learning and avoid frustration (eg, by minimizing distractions and/or sensory dysregulation), and adaptation and organization of materials and tasks to promote independence from adult directions or prompts. Instruction is organized in a predictable fashion and uses visual schedules with promotion of independence in activities planned into the instruction. This approach is associated with a small, but measurable, benefit in perceptual, motor, verbal, and cognitive skills in students with ASD, with less measured effect on adaptive and motor function and challenging behaviors. Rigorous studies of educational interventions for students with ASD at school age and beyond are necessary to understand the effectiveness of different models.

A comparison of the effects of LEAP and TEACCH classrooms with those of standard special education classes taught by teachers familiar with ASD revealed that the common features of these interventions may be responsible for improvements seen in all students. TEACCH was associated with more reported improvement in ASD severity for students who had greater cognitive delays. This finding may speak to the benefit of the environmental and behavioral supports. Research interventions may not be comparable with community-provided school programs. Future research is needed to address how best to provide evidence-based intervention in classroom settings.

**Education in the Least Restrictive Educational Environment**

Pediatricians have an important role in advocating for children and youth with special health care needs, including ASD, in the educational setting. Students have a right to a free and appropriate public education. Educational programs for school-aged children with ASD should promote language, academic, adaptive, and social skills development and prepare them for postsecondary education or employment. Most, but not all, students with ASD will have some individualization of their education under the guidance of an IEP determined by the school multidisciplinary team in conjunction with the family. Others may receive accommodation and/or environmental modifications under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. A medical diagnosis of ASD alone does not automatically translate into eligibility for school-based services. Functional impairment that affects participation in the typical curriculum is required to qualify for supports in the educational setting and may lead to an IEP for the educational handicap of autism. Most youth with ASD and average-range intelligence will likely require academic intervention because of coexisting learning disabilities, executive function challenges, ADHD, motor processing deficits, the effects of their pragmatic language differences on reading and writing, and/or challenges in comprehension of spoken or written language. Attention to the needs of the individual student must be central to the IEP process. Social skills of students with ASD may benefit...
from students being in class and on the playground with peers with typical development.\textsuperscript{351,352} However, spending more than 75% of their time in an inclusive educational setting alone was not sufficient in transition-aged youth to increase rates of college attendance, high school graduation, or functional ratings.\textsuperscript{353} How to best support students with ASD in the least restricted environment requires further study.

**Social Skills Instruction**

Social skills deficits may present differently depending on language abilities, developmental level, and age. Examples of social skills deficits include the following:

- challenges with entering, sustaining, and exiting interactions;
- difficulty attending to, understanding, and using nonverbal and verbal social cues, such as eye contact, facial expressions, and gestures;
- difficulty in understanding “unwritten” social rules of the environment;
- not understanding the perspective of others;
- struggling with negotiation, compromise, and conflict resolution; and
- problems with interactive play or participation in leisure activities.

The importance of caregiver involvement in teaching social skills to preschool-aged children needs to be emphasized for families of young children with ASD. Reinforcing social interaction is central to the success of evidence-based ABA, developmental, and NDBI approaches. \textsuperscript{296,354} Teaching and coaching social interaction involves both behavioral therapy and speech and language therapy approaches.

School-aged children and adolescents with ASD, including those with typical academic skills, should have social skills support considered in their school and perhaps in other therapeutic settings if indicated.\textsuperscript{351,354-356} Although families identify the need to address social skill developments in settings outside of school, the success of these types of interventions is variable.

Interventions may be divided into adult-mediated (skill building with the individual child), peer-mediated (skill building with the child and typically developing classmates), and mixed approaches. Child-directed social skills interventions are often delivered individually or in small groups with other children with similar needs. Therapy may be provided in behavioral health settings to complement the social skills interventions at school.

Interventions addressing social skills may increase the child’s knowledge of the cues for social behavior and teach strategies for social problem-solving. A popular method uses the social narrative to help a child define the social context of an anticipated or experienced situation, put it in perspective, and then develop statements on how it makes the child feel and on what to do in response to the event and feelings.\textsuperscript{357} This coached rehearsal strategy may be included within other programmatic approaches. Implementation may use a cognitive behavioral intervention strategy in which the child identifies feelings and thoughts and learns to substitute more socially appropriate alternatives.\textsuperscript{354} Video- and computer-based social skill interventions may extend access to intervention once an evidence base is established. A systematic review of RCTs of social skills training for children aged 6 to 21 years revealed that interventions improved social competence and friendship quality but did not result in differences in emotional recognition and social communication. Transfer of skills to other settings was inconsistent.\textsuperscript{356}

Because child-mediated interventions taught separately from social settings have not had consistently beneficial effects, interventions have been developed for implementation in the social settings that include peers, such as the classroom and playground. These interventions demonstrate improved playground interaction between children with and without ASD and improved identification as friends by typical peers.\textsuperscript{351} Peer-mediated intervention for students with ASD have revealed improved social connectedness and reduced social isolation and provide evidence to support the use of these interventions in the classroom and playground. An evidence-based approach designed for group administration, the Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relational Skills, may improve both teacher-reported social functioning and adolescent-reported social cognition.\textsuperscript{358} Fewer studies are available to guide programs to promote social skills development for adults with ASD. However, the Program for the Education and Enrichment of Relational Skills group model has been demonstrated to improve social skills in young adults with ASD.\textsuperscript{359}

Families should be counseled to include development of social skills with discrete goals and interventions in the IEP or educational plan as well as to be cognizant of potential opportunities to promote social interaction in the natural environment and in the context of other therapies.\textsuperscript{360} Implementing IEP goals across the day and generalizing specific skills to promote conversation and nonverbal communication, such as providing eye contact, directing facial expressions, and using appropriate gestures, is important, independent of age, and should involve both the caregivers and professionals. More information about IEPs in
Speech-language therapy is the most appropriate intervention might be appropriate intervention for children with ASD. Such strategies include sign language, the Picture Exchange Communication System, and speech-generating devices. The use of AAC may help promote social interaction and understanding of the purpose of communication and does not delay onset of speech. Indeed, it may enhance emergence of spoken words by pairing nonverbal and verbal communication.

The Picture Exchange Communication System is used to build communication through picture identification and exchange as communication. With training, pictures can be sequenced to build on communication. Picture strips that sequentially explain medical procedures, for example, take advantage of this approach. Use of speech-generating devices and programs that use AAC on digital tablets also are increasing. These devices provide acoustic feedback to the child, and touch-screen tablets are relatively inexpensive and portable. Medical providers are often asked to justify the purchase of touch-screen tablets or AAC devices. It cannot be assumed that the use of AAC alone will lead to functional oral communication without a therapeutic plan. Current scientific evidence does not support the use of facilitated communication in which a nonverbal individual is guided to communicate. This differs from AAC, in which the individual is taught to communicate independently. Future strategies to promote communication are expected to incorporate evolving knowledge about sensory processing and connectivity of brain functions in people with ASD.

Children and youth with ASD often have deficits in pragmatic language that can affect social interaction with adults and peers and academic performance as more complex language becomes required for reading comprehension and analysis of information. In addition, literal interpretation of language and difficulty in understanding the intent of other people leads to behavioral challenges in some people with ASD and affects success in school, leisure activities, and employment. School-aged students with spoken language should have their pragmatic language assessed as part of their school-related reevaluations, with consideration of pragmatic language testing if academic problems and inattention are noted in the classroom. Interventions may include individual and group approaches that include teaching and practicing conversation. The pediatrician may refer the child for private speech-language therapy if he or she is not eligible for services in school or if increased intensity of intervention is desired. Although the impact of speech-language therapy on structural language improvement has not been adequately studied, improvement in ratings of conversational competence by parents and of classroom learning skills by teachers supports the recommendation for social skills and social language interventions for students with ASD.

Motor Therapies

Children with ASD may have low muscle tone or a developmental
coordination disorder. Although the ages for sitting and walking do not differ between children with ASD and children with typical development, both fine and gross motor skills may be delayed in preschool-aged children with ASD. Occupational therapy services may be indicated to promote fine motor and adaptive skills, including self-care, toy use, and handwriting. Almost two-thirds of preschool-aged children with ASD are reported to receive occupational therapy services.

Similarly, some children with ASD may have gross motor impairment on formal testing that may benefit from therapeutic intervention focused on building strength, coordination, motor planning, or skill acquisition to promote safer mobility or play. Toe walking is common among children with ASD as well as in other developmental disorders in early childhood. The etiology of toe walking in ASD is unclear, although sensory aversion and habit or perseveration have been proposed. Common interventions for toe walking may include passive stretching, orthotics, and casting. Impairment in gross motor function may affect the capacity of a child with ASD to participate in leisure activities with the family or with peers and may impair participation in sports or interactive play beyond the effect of their social skills alone. Impaired motor skills may further decrease opportunities for social skills development and active learning and may be a risk factor for overweight and obesity. For motor therapies to be provided in the educational setting, a significant delay for age that affects function in school must be identified on a valid assessment measure.

Sensory Therapies

In 2012, the AAP published a clinical report, “Sensory Integration Therapies for Children With Developmental and Behavioral Disorders,” providing important background information and recommendations for pediatricians. Since that publication, the DSM-5 criteria now includes sensory symptoms in the diagnostic criteria for ASD in recognition of the fact that individuals with ASD have sensory challenges that may be related to repetitive and other challenging behaviors. Indeed, sensory symptoms exhibited by young children, such as food selectivity, covering their ears for certain sounds, and visual scrutiny of aspects of objects, may be among the earliest differences families identify in their children’s development. Sensory goals may be included in treatment objectives for students with ASD. Adult-directed approaches provided through sensory-based interventions may be included in the context of motor and behavioral therapies and in educational settings. Despite the increasing scientific understanding of the neurobiological basis for sensory symptoms in individuals with ASD, empirical interventions in common practice have modest evidence to support their general use at this time. Commonly used sensory-based interventions, including brushing of the skin, proprioceptive stimulation by using weighted vests, or kinesthetic stimulation (such as swinging or use of specialized seating, such as a therapy ball, to modulate level of arousal), are not yet supported in the peer-reviewed literature.

Proponents of sensory integration therapies distinguish them from interventions with sensory modalities because of the active engagement with the child in skill building or desensitization. This type of therapy requires a trained clinician, often an occupational therapist, to work with a child by using play and sensory activities to reinforce adaptive responses. The therapist explains the child’s behaviors and responses to caregivers in sensory terms and provides them with strategies to help the caregivers accommodate the child’s sensory needs to decrease functional impairment and tolerate environmental triggers. Advocates of these interventions claim that dysfunction in integration of sensory input contributes to inefficiencies in learning and to behavioral challenges and that therapeutic approaches to sensory integration need to be considered separately from focal sensory-based treatments.

Although sensory-based therapies are among the most commonly requested therapies by caregivers, the evidence supporting their general use remains currently limited. As with any other intervention, specific goals for sensory-based therapies should be identified, and outcomes should be monitored so that the utility for any given child can be documented.

Medical Management of Co-occurring Conditions

Co-occurring medical and other conditions, such as seizures, sleep disorders, gastrointestinal (GI) disorders, feeding disorders, obesity, catatonia, and others, have a significant effect on the health and quality of life for children and youth with ASD and their families. In this section, the co-existing conditions commonly observed in children and youth with ASD are described, and anticipatory guidance and management strategies that primary care providers may consider are provided.

Seizures

There is both an increased risk for ASD among children and youth with epilepsy and an increased risk for seizures in those with ASD. The pooled risk for ASD among children...
with epilepsy is 6.3%, with almost 5 times as many in samples with the highest rates of co-occurring intellectual disabilities. The rate of seizures among people with ASD in community-based populations has been reported to range from 7% to 23%, with rates as high as 46% reported in clinically ascertained samples. It has been suggested that the risk for seizures is not increased in individuals with ASD without intellectual disability. Risk factors for the increased likelihood of seizures in people with ASD include factors for the increased likelihood of seizures and delayed global development. The rate of seizures among people with ASD may be less likely to report specific GI discomfort and may present with agitation, sleep disruption, or other behavioral symptoms rather than GI discomfort. Characteristics of ASD that might affect GI symptoms include resistance to change (feeding and constipation), comorbid anxiety (pain, feeding, and motility disorders), and altered sensory perception (pain, feeding, and constipation). At present, there is no evidence of an association of ASD with celiac disease, specific immune dysfunction, or motility disorders (eg, gastroesophageal reflux) in children with ASD.

It would be expected that these disorders would occur at least as frequently among individuals with ASD as among individuals in the general population, and they should be considered when the child has a history of GI symptoms or a change in behavior. Ongoing research is focused on whether differences are present in immunologic function, motility, or the microbiome in individuals with ASD. Selective eating is common in children with ASD. A limited diet may influence GI symptoms, such as constipation, and alter the intestinal microbiota. GI disorders should be considered in patients with ASD if they present with typical GI symptoms or with agitation, food refusal, or sleep disturbance. The indicated GI workup will depend on the specific symptoms. Children with ASD should be offered the same approaches to treatment of GI disorders as other children. Modifications of conventional interventions to accommodate for symptoms of ASD might include consistent behaviorally informed approaches for constipation and encopresis.

**Feeding Disorders**

Up to three-quarters of children with ASD have problems related to eating, including food selectivity based on texture, color, or temperature; rituals around food presentation; and compulsive eating of certain foods. Behavioral refusal may also present as the child holding food in the mouth, volitional gagging, and emesis. Common related problems include pica (eating of nonfood items) and rumination (self-stimulatory emesis and reswallowing of stomach contents). By age 16 months, children who are later diagnosed with ASD are observed to be more selective in their eating patterns than are other toddlers. Problems around mealtime behavior and food choice often persist into adolescence. The frequency of feeding challenges in children and youth with ASD may relate to the core symptoms of restrictive and repetitive behavior and differences in sensory perception related to smell, taste, and texture.

Children with developmental delays may also have delayed oral motor skill development and may demonstrate food refusal of textures that they cannot physically chew or swallow. Discomfort can lead to food refusal, so initial evaluation should include consideration of gastroesophageal reflux, dental pain, food allergies, lactose intolerance, and significant constipation. If oral-motor concerns are observed, speech or occupational therapy assessment is indicated. Because feeding problems are so common among children with ASD, a dietary history should be obtained at health supervision visits. Physiologic needs for macronutrients and micronutrients are the same for children with ASD as for other children. As with other children in the United States, insufficient intake of fiber, vitamin D, and calcium are common. Rare cases of severe nutritional deficiencies, such as...
rickets (vitamin D), scurvy (vitamin C), and keratoconus (vitamin A) have been reported in children with ASD with severe food aversions. If supplements are used to correct for poor vitamin D or calcium intake, it is important to confirm that the dose is sufficient for the age and sex of the child. Food fortification in the United States may supply adequate amounts of vitamins and minerals for some children with selective diets, so additional multivitamins may not be necessary. Consultation with a registered dietitian may be helpful to be able to guide families regarding the nutritional sufficiency of their child’s diet.

The clinician can counsel families about offering children routine meals and snacks, discouraging snacking through the day, promoting self-feeding, and using basic behavioral approaches to encourage mealtime structure and predictability with minimal distraction. Children with ASD need to be offered new foods multiple times to become familiar with them. Feeding problems that affect nutrition or family function or that are specialized, such as mouth packing, rumination, severe pica, and intense aversions, are likely to need the support of professionals with expertise in behavior management and/or oral-motor therapies (speech or occupational therapy). Food refusal may stem from discomfort, so consultation with a gastroenterologist may be helpful. Gastrostomy-tube placement and nonoral feeding should only be considered after appropriate behavioral intervention has failed.

**Obesity**

Children and youth with ASD have greater risk for overweight and obesity than those in the general population. People with ASD have fewer opportunities and perhaps less interest for active leisure or organized sports, have repetitive eating patterns that may include energy-dense foods, and are more likely to be prescribed medications, such as atypical neuroleptics (or antipsychotic medication) and anticonvulsants, that often contribute to excessive weight gain. Sleep disorders may further predispose them for obesity. Primary care providers should monitor a child’s age-specific BMI percentile in the context of health supervision care and address modifiable risk factors through anticipatory guidance for their patients with ASD. Programs that address healthy weight for children and youth with typical development may need to be modified for successful use for patients with ASD.

**Dental Health**

Children with ASD commonly have unmet dental needs. Difficulty cooperating with hygiene and professional care are reported barriers for dental care. Even when insurance coverage is available, children with ASD have fewer visits for routine care. There are limited data about the prevalence of caries or gingival disease in children with ASD. As with other children, anticipatory guidance should include attention to dental hygiene and fluoride use, if appropriate, from a young age. Behavioral strategies may be helpful to prevent the need for dental care under sedation.

**Pica**

Children and youth with ASD may put nonfood items in their mouths long after the developmental period of early childhood, when pica is expected. Pica is reported in up to one-quarter of preschool-aged children with ASD and is documented to persist in individuals with intellectual disability. The persistence of pica may be attributable to sensory differences, perseveration or obsession, and oral exploration of the environment. Clinicians need to be aware of persistence of pica in children and youth with ASD because of the risk for toxic ingestions, risk for lead intoxication, potential for infection, and the risk for mechanical ingestions ranging from batteries to bezoars. Obstruction and perforation need to be considered in children with pica who have acute abdominal symptoms. Iron deficiency is associated with pica in the general population. Laboratory monitoring of blood lead and iron deficiency in children with pica is suggested in the context of primary care. Behavioral intervention includes reinforcing appropriate behaviors, ensuring adult supervision, and putting into place environmental safeguards for prevention.

**Sleep Problems**

Sleep disturbance is common in individuals with ASD and may be associated with exacerbation of problematic daytime behavior. Problems with initiating and maintaining sleep are reported for 50% to 80% of children with ASD. Children who are later diagnosed with ASD are reported to have had sleep problems by 30 months of age. Sleep problems in individuals with ASD persist; almost half of adolescents with ASD continue to have sleep symptoms. Adolescents are more likely to have shorter sleep duration, daytime sleepiness, and delayed sleep onset compared with younger children with ASD, who are more likely to have bedtime resistance, parasomnias, and night-waking. Reasons for the increased frequency of sleep disturbances in children and youth with ASD may include differences in melatonin metabolism, developmental disruption of other neurotransmitter systems critical to sleep, and lack of social expectations, among other explanations. Genetic disorders, such as Smith-Magenis syndrome, are associated with both ASD and sleep disruption. Biological reasons for disrupted sleep that are not unique to
children with ASD may include restless leg syndrome, which may be associated with low iron stores, and coexisting neurologic or behavioral diagnoses, such as epilepsy, anxiety, ADHD, or mood disorders. The most common cause of both delayed sleep onset and night wakings is learned behaviors. As with other children, the evaluation of the child with ASD with delayed sleep onset, night wakings, and/or early-morning wakings should include a history of comorbid medical conditions that might disrupt sleep, such as gastroesophageal reflux, seizures, asthma, allergies, eczema, or enuresis. Snoring might suggest obstructive sleep apnea and would prompt referral for additional assessment. Children who play video games or engage in other screen time close to bedtime have later bedtimes and may have more difficulty falling asleep. Restless sleep and night wakings would suggest a need for laboratory evaluation for ferritin and other indicators of iron sufficiency to determine if low iron stores might be present. An environmental history of the household may help to determine if household noise, parental work hours, or other factors may affect sleep. The bedtime routine and response to night-waking should be reviewed to determine the behavioral approaches to consider.

Empirical support exists for the effectiveness of parent education and behavioral interventions for children with ASD and sleep disturbances. Behavioral intervention includes parents establishing bedtime routines and making clear their expectation that the child sleeps in his or her own bed. This may be difficult to establish for children with ASD, who may not appreciate the social conventions around sleep time and may have repetitive rituals and comorbid anxiety or ADHD. Despite these challenges, behavioral strategies are successful when consistently implemented.

No medication is currently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the treatment of insomnia in children with or without ASD. Any medication elected should be started at a low dose and monitored for adverse effects. Sleep onset may be aided by treatment with melatonin at doses from 1 to 6 mg and may be maintained with long-acting melatonin. Adverse effects are uncommon but may include nightmares. α-adrenergic agents (eg, clonidine) and antihistamines (eg, diphenhydramine) are often prescribed to help with sleep onset or to address night-waking in children, but the literature provides little support for their use.

Disordered sleep is associated with challenging daytime behaviors in children with ASD; addressing one may help with the other.

Wandering

Accidents, including drowning, are a major cause of morbidity and mortality in children and youth with developmental disabilities, including ASD. Children and youth with ASD may have decreased awareness of social convention and community rules as well as impulsivity and perseverative interests that draw them to potential dangers, such as bodies of water and busy roads. Wandering off (also called elopement) places them at risk for injury. Wandering, if present, should be included in the problem list as a coexisting diagnosis in patients with ASD. In an online study, 1218 families of children with ASD were questioned about elopement. Nearly half of children with ASD between the ages of 4 and 10 years had tried to elope. Almost half of those children were missing long enough for their parents to contact the police. Of those children, approximately two-thirds were at risk for traffic-related injury and almost one-third were reported to have had near-drowning episodes.

Data from a national survey revealed that elopement attempts in the past year were reported by approximately one-third of parents whose children had ASD with or without intellectual disability. Wandering may persist into adulthood.

In the survey by Anderson et al, parents reported that the most common perceived reasons for elopement were enjoyment of running, attempts to get to a desired location (such as a park), pursuit of an intense interest (eg, water), and escape from situations or sensory events that made them anxious. Because the risk for elopement increases with the severity of ASD and with co-occurring intellectual disabilities, many of the individuals at greatest risk have limited language and cannot tell first responders their names, addresses, or phone numbers if they get lost. Police may interpret aggression caused by fear as combative behavior.

Prevention is the most important intervention for elopement. Parents participating in a large national survey of children with special health care needs reported primarily using physical and electronic barriers to try to prevent elopement, especially in children who also had intellectual disabilities. Information on prevention and management of wandering is available for parents and clinicians (http://nationalautismassociation.org/big-red-safety-box/). Consistent, adequate adult supervision is important in all environments: school, home, and community settings. Families note that increased supervision needs result in increased family stress. Families may need to consider deadbolts, fencing, and alarm systems for safety as well as personal GPS devices and identification bracelets or other identification. Local law enforcement...
agencies may support GPS tracking. Alerting neighbors and local law enforcement officials as well as securing pools in the neighborhood and creating a family emergency plan are suggested. If impulsivity and motor hyperactivity contribute to elopement, examining the utility of medication as part of an overall plan may be considered. Similarly, addressing sleep issues becomes important if the child is at risk for wandering at night. Teaching safety skills and appropriate community behaviors is critical to prevention. All children with ASD, no matter their level of cognitive skills, are at risk for wandering.449

Motor Disorders

There is increasing appreciation that individuals with ASD may have developmental coordination disorder and other neurologic problems. Tic disorders occur with an increased frequency in children with ASD.450 Distinguishing complex tics from stereotyped movements may be challenging.

Catatonia was added as a possible coexisting condition to ASD in the DSM-5. Slow initiation of movement and reported deterioration in motor performance have been treated with lorazepam, electroconvulsive therapy, and behavioral interventions, but the therapies do not have a strong literature base.451 Later loss of motor skills in adolescence should prompt evaluation by a neurologist for underlying reasons. Regression in language or social interest is reported in approximately one-quarter of children later diagnosed with ASD. It is recognized most commonly between 18 and 24 months of age. Regression later in childhood requires evaluation.

Co-occurring Behavioral Health Conditions

Co-occurring behavioral symptoms include hyperactivity or inattention, aggression, outbursts, and self-injurious behaviors. Although these behaviors are not core features of ASD, they commonly interfere with functioning in school, at home, and in the community and contribute substantially to the challenges faced by families.293,294,381,452–457 Psychiatric conditions (such as ADHD, anxiety, OCD, mood disorders, conduct disorders, or others) are identified in 70% to 90% of children and youth with ASD.458,459 Behavioral challenges have a significant effect on health and quality of life for children and adolescents with ASD and their families.460 Patients with ASD, like other children and adolescents, should be regularly screened for behavioral and/or emotional conditions, as recommended by the AAP.461 The effect of behavior on home and school functioning is often assessed as part of school testing by using parent and teacher questionnaires, such as the Behavior Assessment System for Children, Third Edition, Parent Rating Scales,79,462 or the Child Behavior Checklist.82,463,464

With change in behavioral symptoms, physical sources of discomfort and behavioral intervention should be considered.465 If behavioral interventions are insufficient to address the challenges or are unavailable at the time, medication might be considered (see Table 10 for guidance on prescribing medication).

ADHD

Changes in DSM-5 criteria have provided flexibility to diagnose other DSM-5 disorders in addition to ASD, which can help guide treatment. Approximately half of children and youth with ASD also may fulfill diagnostic criteria for ADHD.459 Pediatricians should keep in mind that some children who are later diagnosed with ASD may have been initially identified as having ADHD.459 Symptoms of ADHD may further compromise social skills function in children with ASD because of inattention to social cues and impulsivity. Standard rating scales used to assess symptoms of ADHD have not yet been validated for

---

**TABLE 10 Considerations Surrounding Medication Use**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Considerations Surrounding Medication Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No current medication corrects core social and communication symptoms of ASD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accurate diagnosis of coexisting psychiatric conditions guide therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication is used to help manage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Coexisting behavioral health disorders (eg, ADHD, mood disorders, or anxiety disorders)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Associated problem behaviors or symptoms causing significant impairment and distress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Examples include the following: aggression, self-injurious behavior, sleep disturbance, mood lability, anxiety, hyperactivity, impulsivity, inattention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication should only be considered after</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Careful accounting of when the behavior started and what seems to exacerbate it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• A functional behavioral assessment should guide development of a treatment plan in the school setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Consider whether the behavior serves as communication of distress or refusal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Consider referral to a behavior therapist outside of school to assess the reasons for the behavior; provide the family with strategies, and collaborate in care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Careful history and physical to look for medical factors that may cause or exacerbate challenging behaviors (eg, gastroesophageal reflux and acute sources of pain, such as otitis media, dental injury, fracture, and others)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consider medication after treatable medical conditions and behavioral factors assessed and intervention does not address the symptoms of concern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Include the family and patient in shared decision making that considers their goals and values</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
individuals with ASD. However, they are useful in determining the clinical impact of symptoms for an individual patient and in monitoring treatment. It is important, however, to consider the differential diagnosis of inattention and hyperactivity in the context of the language impairment and perseverative focus that often accompanies ASD. Children with delayed language may appear more inattentive. If they are expected to perform activities (including schoolwork) that they are not able to understand or accomplish, a child with ASD may engage in behaviors to escape, which can be interpreted as inattention and hyperactivity. Patients with ASD may be focused on their perseverative interests and may be internally distracted, as opposed to distracted by the environment. Evaluation of the symptom of inattention or impulsivity includes assessing language and educational abilities. Appropriate educational modifications and use of language for instruction that the student can understand are critical for successful intervention. Behavioral strategies should address reinforcement of on-task behaviors, breaking down tasks into units that can be completed successfully, breaks for activity (often included in sensory activities), and adult supervision appropriate for the demands. The same medications that are used for symptoms of ADHD in children without ASD are used in similar doses for children with ASD. Routine monitoring is important because children with ASD may be at greater risk for adverse effects (Table 11). The evaluation of a child for a possible co-occurring diagnosis of ADHD also should include consideration of a co-occurring diagnosis of anxiety.

Anxiety Disorders

The DSM-5 classification system separates anxiety disorders into separation anxiety disorder, selective mutism, specific phobia, social phobia, panic disorder, agoraphobia, and generalized anxiety disorder as well as unspecified anxiety disorder. As many as 40% to 66% of school-aged children and adults with ASD are reported to also have anxiety disorders. Anxiety disorders are most commonly identified in children with ASD and typical cognitive and language abilities. Symptoms may be present in early childhood and manifest as behavioral challenges, such as overreactivity. Biological predisposition to both ASD and anxiety may be attributable to common genetic factors and/or altered neurophysiologic responses to stress.

Core symptoms of ASD decrease the ability of individuals with ASD to predict the actions or interpret the beliefs of others, which may lead to a constant state of heightened worry. Repetitive behaviors may, in part, serve to instill predictability, so anxiety may lead to increased stereotyped behaviors or perseverative thoughts. Evaluation of anxiety requires consideration of the language demands of the environment, academic expectations, social demands, and underlying fears or phobias. Youth with ASD may lack sufficient language or insight to describe their symptoms. Getting information from multiple sources and looking at the behavioral manifestations related to context will help to correctly identify anxiety in patients with ASD.

Strong evidence from RCTs supports the use of cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety symptoms in school-aged children with ASD, especially those with typical-range intelligence. Anxiety may be associated with reported GI and sensory symptoms. Some individuals find that sensory redirection or sensory activities used in the context of a behavioral program are helpful to diminish feelings of anxiety. Other individuals may find symptom relief with the introduction of routine and structure if anxiety is exacerbated by uncertainty or associated with sensory under- and overreactivity. Nonpharmacologic approaches, such as neurofeedback and digitally delivered approaches to self-regulation, are being evaluated for their therapeutic potential. Medications used for anxiety in the general population may be considered as part of an overall treatment plan for children and youth with ASD (see Table 11 for psychopharmacotherapy of children with ASD and anxiety).

Mood Disorders

Depressive disorders are more common among children and adults with ASD than in the general population. Reported rates of coexisting depression in adults and children are highly variable, ranging from 12% to 33%. Symptoms of depression are more likely to lead to dual mental health and developmental disability diagnoses in adolescents and adults with ASD than in children. The coexistence of mood disorders and ASD may be associated with genetic and neurobiological factors as well as environmental factors related to chronic stress and difficulty with understanding social situations. Both elevated and depressed mood may present as behavioral symptoms in youth with ASD. Changes in affect, participation, sleep habits, and eating may be symptoms of an underlying mood disorder. Attempted suicide is reported to occur more frequently in people with ASD than in the general population. Risk factors include peer victimization, behavioral problems, minority race or ethnicity, male sex, lower socioeconomic status, and lower level of education. The AAP recommends screening for depression in patients older than 12 years. Until ASD-specific measures are developed, the same approaches used for all other adolescents at increased risk for depression should be considered.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Symptoms</th>
<th>Medication Class (Examples)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyperactivity</td>
<td>Psychostimulants (methylphenidate, dexamphetamine, mixed amphetamine salts, lisdexamfetamine, dextroamphetamine)</td>
<td>With other coexisting symptoms, medication may not appear as effective. May be more sensitive to adverse effects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impulsivity</td>
<td>SNRIs (atomoxetine)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inattention</td>
<td>α-2 adrenergic agonists (clonidine, guanfacine)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distractibility</td>
<td>Atypical (second generation) antipsychotics (aripiprazole, risperidone)</td>
<td>Steps: Behavioral approaches implemented. Problems persist, trial of medication management. Start with a low-dose stimulant (eg, methylphenidate or mixed dextroamphetamine salts) and increase as needed and tolerated. May be most effective in children without comorbid intellectual disability. Targets symptoms of impulsivity and hyperactivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other medications</td>
<td>Other medications (less evidence): atypical antipsychotic medications may decrease hyperactivity, their primary use is for irritability and aggression.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Atypical antipsychotics (aripiprazole, risperidone)</td>
<td>Medication most effective if combined with behavioral strategies addressing identified environmental causes for the behavior and developing more appropriate responses for the child.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritability and severe disruptive behavior</td>
<td></td>
<td>DB/PCs strong support for 2 second-generation atypical antipsychotic medications (risperidone and aripiprazole) for reducing irritability, stereotyped or repetitive movements, self-injury, and hyperactivity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Risperidone and aripiprazole are currently the only medications with FDA-approved labeling specific to irritability in ASD.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Adverse effects and monitoring: Common adverse effects include wt gain and dyslipidemia. Monitoring: periodic assessment for extrapyramidal symptoms; measurement of wt, height, and BMI; and laboratory monitoring of glucose and lipid levels. Metformin might be a useful treatment to help control wt gain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Other agents in this class, such as olanzapine and quetiapine, may have utility on the basis of their adverse effect profiles but do not have current FDA package insert indication for use in children with ASD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Small studies documenting beneficial effects on irritability; need larger trials; may have better adverse effect profiles than atypical antipsychotics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Few studies focused on irritability and/or aggression; some reporting improvement in irritability, insufficient evidence to advise practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Symptoms</td>
<td>Medication Class (Examples)</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticonvulsant mood stabilizers (valproic acid and divalproex sodium)</td>
<td>Small studies suggestive of improvement in irritability; need larger studies; a limited number of placebo-controlled studies either do not support or are inconclusive regarding anticonvulsant medication as a treatment of irritability in patients with ASD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (venlafaxine)</td>
<td>Effect size of improvement associated with venlafaxine was small, and irritability was not the primary outcome measured</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetitive behavior</td>
<td>Multiple DB/PCs documenting improvement in repetitive behavior; short-term treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereotyped motor mannerisms</td>
<td>Common adverse effects include increased appetite, fatigue, drowsiness, dizziness, and drooling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compulsions</td>
<td>More effective for targets of tantrums, aggression, and SIB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral rigidity, insistence on sameness</td>
<td>Modest improvement has been reported with divalproex sodium treatment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticonvulsants (valproic acid and divalproex sodium)</td>
<td>May have improvement with topiramate as a second agent with risperidone</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRI (fluoxetine, fluvoxamine)</td>
<td>Studies to date have not revealed effectiveness of SSRI medications for repetitive behaviors related to ASD, although they may diminish anxiety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety, depression</td>
<td>Anxiety relief has been reported in trials of citalopram and buspiroprone, with fluvoxamine revealing some effect in female patients with ASD, documented utility in children and youth without ASD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRIs</td>
<td>Studies to date have not revealed effectiveness of SSRI medications for repetitive behaviors related to ASD, although they may diminish anxiety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-adrenergic (clonidine, guanfacine)</td>
<td>Hyperactivation is an adverse effect of SSRIs in children and youth with ASD that may result in stopping the medication</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypical (second generation) antipsychotics</td>
<td>The anxiety disorders most amenable to treatment are generalized anxiety disorder, separation anxiety disorder, and social phobia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a mood dysregulation disorder is identified, treatment with a mood stabilizer and/or a second-generation antipsychotic is recommended, although an SSRI may be used to treat comorbid anxiety, OCD, or depression; behavioral activation with hypomanic or manic switches has been reported</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-line treatment is a program of cognitive behavioral therapy to reduce symptoms</td>
<td>Few studies have examined the specific effects for these symptoms; clinicians may consider use of these agents; although SSRIs, SNRIs, and/or buspirone may be effective for the treatment of anxiety in children with ASD, they have not been rigorously evaluated for this purpose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medications to consider include sertraline, fluoxetine, citalopram, or escitalopram for symptoms of anxiety and α-2 agonists (eg, guanfacine and clonidine and β-blockers such as propranolol), which may be useful for anxiety-related physiologic symptoms and behavioral dysregulation, and a short-acting benzodiazepine, such as lorazepam, could be considered for event related anxiety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As in children and youth with typical development, assessment of depression and other mood disorders must include family history, history of environmental stressors, the potential for toxic ingestions, and evaluation for comorbid conditions. Interventions for depression include supportive therapy, cognitive behavioral therapy, and medication, if indicated, as coordinated interventions (see Table 11 for medication use). Antidepressant use in people with ASD has not been demonstrated to address aggression and has inconsistent effect on anxiety. Medication recommendations are based on data from the general pediatric population and expert consensus.

The DSM-5 criteria for bipolar illness include changes in activity, energy, and mood. It may be difficult to make a diagnosis in people with ASD with limited language. The co-occurrence of bipolar illness and ASD in individuals with typical intelligence ranges from 6% to 21%. Lifetime diagnosis of bipolar illness in adults with ASD is reported to be 9%.

**OCD-Related Disorders**

Although restricted and repetitive behaviors are symptoms of ASD, some individuals with ASD may also have coexisting OCD. Obsessions are recurrent, unwanted, and persistent thoughts, images, or urges that cause distress. Compulsions are repetitive behaviors or thoughts with rigid rules performed to reduce anxiety. Unlike the stereotypic behaviors of ASD, compulsions usually follow an obsession, diminish anxiety, and are not desired by the individual or perceived as pleasurable. Under the DSM-5, OCD-related disorders include hoarding disorder, excoriation (skin-picking) disorder, trichotillomania, substance- or medication-induced obsessive-compulsive and related disorder, and obsessive-compulsive and related disorder due to another medical condition. The perseverations associated with ASD may be qualitatively different and less sophisticated than the repetitive and intrusive thoughts and actions associated with OCD. Repetitive behaviors in general may help an individual with ASD regain a sense of predictability. Anxiety, phobias, and/or depression may coexist with OCD in youth with ASD.

Behavioral approaches are recommended as the first line of treatment of symptoms of OCD, depending on the language and cognitive level of the patient. Cognitive behavioral therapy, including exposure and response prevention with or without a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor, has been demonstrated to be the most effective treatment for youth with OCD who do not have ASD. Cognitive behavioral therapy may be less effective, with fewer remissions, in youth who also have ASD (see Table 11 for medication management).

**Disruptive Behavior Disorders:**

Disruptive behaviors, such as aggression, self-injury, and tantrums, may complicate home and community management of individuals with ASD. Behavioral outbursts may occur in response to stressful events in the environment, in reaction to a medical condition, as functional communication, or as a symptom supporting diagnosis of a co-occurring mental health disorder. Functional behavioral analysis and implementation of behavioral strategies can be an important initial step in management. A proposed pathway for the primary care setting for management of irritability that leads to disruptive behaviors in youth with ASD is proposed by McGuire et al. Disruptive behaviors may serve as communication to escape from a demand or an undesired situation. If successful, they may become part of a behavioral pattern. New onset of severe behaviors requires consideration of potential medical reasons (see Table 12). Pharmacologic treatment should be considered if no medical etiology is identified and if the behavior is associated with irritability, is not responsive to available behavioral interventions, or is related to a co-occurring diagnosable behavioral health disorder, such as anxiety, mood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Self-Injury</th>
<th>Potential Associated Conditions</th>
<th>Potential Associated Injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head banging</td>
<td>Headache, toothache, sinus infection, ear infection</td>
<td>Detached retina, abrasions, contusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head hitting or slapping</td>
<td>Headache, toothache, sinus infection, ear infection</td>
<td>Fracture of bones in hand, detached retina, abrasions, contusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eye poking</td>
<td>Vision loss, eye pain</td>
<td>Eye abrasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gum or tooth digging or banging</td>
<td>Dental pain, gingivitis</td>
<td>Gum injury, tooth autoextraction, tooth fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scratching and skin picking</td>
<td>Allergy, eczema, drug reaction, skin infection or infestation (eg, fleas, scabies)</td>
<td>Infection, scarring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger and toenail biting or picking</td>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>Infection, nail removal, ingrown nails, paronychia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kicking or stomping</td>
<td>Restless leg syndrome, leg pain</td>
<td>Bruises, fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rumination</td>
<td>Gastroesophageal reflux, eosinophilic esophagitis</td>
<td>Esophageal ulceration and bleeding, dental damage, nutritional compromise, precancerous lesions of esophagus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
disorders, thought disorders, and/or ADHD.

It has been reported that between 8% and 68% of children with ASD demonstrate aggressive behavior, depending on how stringent the definition is.\textsuperscript{454} Aggressive behaviors were reported on the Child Behavior Checklist for one-quarter of children attending an ASD clinic, with similar rates from 2 to 16 years of age. Aggression was associated with hyperactivity, lower cognitive skills, sleep problems, and internalizing behaviors such as anxiety. There was no association with sex. Researchers of other studies have observed sleep problems, and internalizing behaviors in children with ASD who have lower adaptive skills and frequent repetitive behaviors such as anxiety. There was no association with sex. Researchers of other studies have observed increased rates of physical aggression in children with ASD who have lower adaptive skills and frequent repetitive behavior.\textsuperscript{467} Management of co-occurring sleep problems and hyperactivity may be helpful in a treatment plan\textsuperscript{488} that includes behavioral intervention to address aggression and targeted pharmacotherapy.\textsuperscript{497}

Self-injurious behaviors are reported in 40% to 50% of individuals with ASD at some point across the lifespan\textsuperscript{489} and may occur more frequently in people with ASD who also have aggressive behaviors and sleep problems.\textsuperscript{490} Self-injurious behaviors in individuals with ASD may be repetitive and self-stimulatory (such as scratching, pica, or rumination). Head banging and self-hitting may occur as part of a tantrum. Like aggression and other disruptive behaviors, self-injurious behaviors may serve as communication to escape from demands or situations that the individual does not want to be in. The type of self-injurious behavior may change if the intervention of prevention or blocking is not associated with addressing the underlying reason for the behavior. Persistence of self-injurious behaviors in individuals with ASD is associated with more limited cognitive and language abilities, hyperactivity, impulsivity, repetitive behavior, and more challenges with social interaction.\textsuperscript{491} There is an association of self-injury with specific genetic disorders that are not associated with ASD, such as the severe self-biting of Lesch-Nyhan syndrome. Self-injurious behavior is associated with genetic disorders that are also associated with ASD, such as Cornelia de Lange syndrome, fragile X syndrome, and Smith-Magenis syndrome.\textsuperscript{492} In the case of aggressive, self-injurious, and disruptive behaviors, the primary care provider needs to assess the safety of the child and family in an ongoing fashion. Referral to community services and for behavioral intervention should take place if behaviors are unsafe or if the patient is not responding to the treatment plan.

**Psychopharmacologic Approaches to Management**

The use of medications to treat behavioral and psychiatric symptoms in children and youth with ASD has increased significantly since the publication of the 2007 AAP clinical reports.\textsuperscript{493,494} With a shortage of specialists, more medication management, including prescription of atypical antipsychotic medications, is taking place in the primary care setting.\textsuperscript{495,496} Large national studies of insurance claim data from Medicaid and commercial insurers reveal rates of psychopharmacology prescription for patients with ASD to be 56% to 65%.\textsuperscript{476,493,497} One or more psychotropic medications are prescribed for 1% of children with ASD younger than 3 years, for 10% to 11% of children aged 3 to 5 years, for 38% to 46% of children aged 6 to 11 years, and for 64% to 67% of adolescents aged 12 to 17 years.\textsuperscript{498,499} Psychotropic medication use increases with increased age, lower range of cognitive skills and/or presence of intellectual disability, and higher prevalence levels of challenging behavior or coexisting psychiatric diagnoses.\textsuperscript{476,497–501} Prescription of medication also appears to be affected by demographic factors, such as race, ethnicity, and geography.\textsuperscript{497,498,502} Reported polypharmacy rates range from 12% in a registry cohort recruited from diagnostic clinics\textsuperscript{499} to 29% to 35% in large studies of Medicaid claims data.\textsuperscript{476,493}

Medication may be helpful to address co-occurring symptoms or disorders. Clinicians should carefully weigh potential risks and benefits before prescribing medication for behavior and use psychotropic medications as part of a comprehensive treatment approach. The prescribing clinician should understand the indications and contraindications, dosing, potential adverse effects, drug-drug interactions, and monitoring requirements of the medications they prescribe.\textsuperscript{6} Table 10 provides guidance for principles of prescribing medication, and Table 11 lists pharmaceutical options for common behavioral-symptom clusters. Psychopharmacogenomic testing for genetic variants that increase the likelihood of adverse effects is an emerging area for precision medicine. Prescribers should consider CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 metabolizer status in making medication decisions for selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), for example, despite limited data at present to guide practice.\textsuperscript{503,504} The limited data on the utility of psychopharmacogenomic testing at the time of this publication limits insurance coverage for many patients. Recommendations for testing are expected to rapidly change with ongoing research.\textsuperscript{503–505}

**Areas of Psychopharmacologic Research**

As the neurobiology of ASDs are better understood, novel psychopharmacologic agents might be developed that will better manage
co-occurring symptoms and/or address core deficits. Some potentially important lines of research involve medications that modulate metabolism of excitatory neurotransmitters (such as glutamate and γ-aminobutyric acid), block acetylcholinesterase and/or nicotinic acid receptors, and act as hormones that naturally promote social affiliation (such as oxytocin and vasopressin). Drug trials involve newly formulated agents as well as repurposing exiting medications used for other purposes.506–509

Better understanding of the neurobiology responsible for the symptoms of ASD will allow for the identification of targeted psychopharmacologic interventions. The use of psycho-pharmacogenomics to identify which patients might genetically be at greater likelihood of benefit or at increased risk for adverse effects from specific medications is an important area of research.510

**Integrative, Complementary, and Alternative Therapies**

Despite the advances in understanding the neurobiology of ASD, many unanswered questions remain about why ASD occurs and how best to treat it. Families often consider nutritional interventions and nonmedical therapies without a scientific evidence base to address the symptoms that conventional interventions cannot rapidly address, or there is limited access to conventional services in their community. Primary care providers are often asked about nonstandard interventions that are used in integrative practice or are promoted on the Internet, in the popular press, by other families, and by celebrities.511–516 The National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health maintains a Web site in which current information on novel therapies in popular use for people with ASD is reviewed.517 In the past decade, an increasing number of interventions based on theories of causation of ASD that are, as yet, unproven have been examined in clinical trials. Appropriately designed trials have provided evidence to support some interventions, such as the dietary supplement melatonin, and have disproven others, such as secretin. Many interventions, although still widely used, remain unproven.

Complementary therapies are often attractive to families because they are purported to correct putative biological causes of behavioral symptoms and may be discussed with an optimism about outcome that is not often conveyed with the recommendation for conventional therapies. Between 28% and 74% of children with ASD are given at least 1, and usually more than 1, complementary therapy.519–521

**Complementary therapies**

**Integrative, alternative, and integrative therapies used for ASD can be grouped into 3 general areas: (1) natural products (including herbs, vitamins and minerals, and probiotics), (2) mind and body practices (including yoga, chiropractic, massage, acupuncture, progressive relaxation, and guided imagery), and (3) other therapies (including traditional medicine and naturopathy).517**

**Dietary interventions**

Dietary interventions used to treat symptoms of ASD are perceived by many families as beneficial because they are natural and without adverse effects. Dietary elimination of gluten- and casein-containing foods is often implemented in an attempt to ameliorate core symptoms of ASD, not on the basis of allergy or celiac disease.523,524 The double-blind clinical trials to date have not demonstrated a treatment effect with diet.524,525 Whether a subgroup of children with GI symptoms might benefit from these or other dietary interventions requires additional study. Children may be adequately nourished on a casein-free diet with calcium and vitamin D supplementation. Nutritional counseling is recommended if a trial of this diet is elected.506 It may be that improvement in unrelated conditions may influence behavioral symptoms (eg, removal of dairy products may decrease irritability attributable to lactose intolerance).

Dietary supplements are often given to children who are selective eaters by their families to compensate for a limited diet.506 However, many children with ASD are given vitamins and minerals to treat proposed biochemical abnormalities that have been proposed to be unique to ASD. Popular dietary supplements include vitamin D, vitamin B12, vitamin B6 with magnesium, omega-3 fatty acids, and multivitamin preparations. The literature to date is controversial with respect to vitamin supplementation as a treatment of symptoms of ASD, and at this time, no conclusive evidence exists that people with ASD require different nutrient intake than that recommended in the Dietary Reference Intakes (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK225472/). The long-term risks of high-dose supplementation have not been studied.531 Although maternal folic acid status may provide biologically plausible risk for ASD, there is no evidence that supplementing with B vitamins has therapeutic benefit at this time, whether a child carries common variants in the MTHFR gene.522,533 Of dietary supplements in common use, melatonin has been demonstrated to be a safe and effective intervention for sleep in children with ASD.528
Nonbiological interventions used for symptoms of ASD are popular and have also been increasingly studied. There has been conflicting evidence regarding the effect of music therapy, yoga, massage, and equine-assisted therapy on the symptoms of ASD in children, but evidence does not support these therapies for treatment of the core deficits of ASD at this time. Evidence to date does not support the use of auditory integration training, in which an individual listens to altered sounds through headphones in an effort to change auditory or other processing. Existing studies are insufficient at this time to support dance therapy, drama therapy, and chiropractic therapy.

Medical interventions used for nonstandard purposes also are sometimes prescribed for symptoms of ASD. Clinical trials do not support the use of antifungal agents, immunotherapy, or hyperbaric oxygen treatment, and concern for safety, in addition to lack of supporting data, cautions against chelation therapy for children with ASD.

As with any intervention, families electing a novel therapy should work with their therapeutic team to identify target symptoms they hope to address and develop a monitoring system to track change. Interventions should be implemented in a stepwise fashion so that proper attribution of effect is possible and confounding factors can be identified. It is important that the medical home provider and family collaborate to select and monitor safe and effective interventions.

SECTION 6: WORKING WITH FAMILIES

Families play a key role in effective treatment for children with ASD. Recognition that individuals who are affected and their families are partners with the professionals in all aspects of planning a personal, local, and national agenda for ASD has emerged and has shaped approaches to community services as well as research planning. Provision of patient- and family-centered care requires the clinician to educate the family about the child’s health and engage in respectful dialogue. Resources to support the clinician in talking to families about the diagnosis include a toolkit developed by the Autism Speaks Autism Treatment Network (https://www.autismspeaks.org/tool-kit/atnair-guide-providing-feedback-families-affected-autism).

Impact of ASD on the Family

The impact of having a child with ASD on other family members and on society is considerable. Parents of children with ASD report more stress and increased costs than do parents who do not have a child with ASD. More than half of families report that a parent needs to cut back on work or stop working because of the care needs of the child. The largest societal costs associated with ASD are special education, residential care, and lost days of caregiver work. Peer support for families of children with ASD is associated with less parental stress, less negative mood, and more positive perceptions. Parents who understand more about their child’s ASD can advocate for more intensive and appropriate services. Best practice includes giving families contact information for a family support group at the time of diagnosis. This support may be a local group that provides face-to-face interaction and community activities or an online community. Many families may not have the time or inclination at the time of diagnosis to communicate with other families affected by ASD but may find the support useful later when they are facing the transitions of preschool, adolescence, or adulthood. National support groups that address a wider community of children and youth with special health care needs (such as Family Voices and Parent2Parent), autism-specific national support organizations (such as Autism Speaks and the Autism Society), and local organizations are effective in helping families obtain information and feel supported. Clinicians should familiarize themselves with national and local sources of support and information so that families can be given Web sites or phone numbers at the time of diagnosis and again as indicated. State-specific information on services and Maternal and Child Health Bureau–supported programs are found online (https://mchb.hrsa.gov/maternal-child-health-initiatives/autism). It is important for providers to advocate for instructional material in other languages as well as be knowledgeable of other resources in their communities that can provide services or support to the culturally diverse groups they serve.

Comorbid conditions, such as intellectual disability and/or psychiatric disorders, add to the impact of ASD on family functioning and access to care. Although families of older children and youth typically report fewer interactions with professionals, the stress on the parent related to the ASD diagnosis persists. Primary care providers should speak with families about the stresses associated with ASD and the health of other family members and make appropriate referrals, either for supportive counseling for the caregivers or agencies that can address behavioral and respite needs of the child or to address unmet health needs in family members.

The effect on siblings also needs to be considered in the context of both anticipatory guidance and primary care. Most siblings of children with ASD do not report having a sibling with a disability to be a negative experience; however, they, too, are at risk for increased stress and subsequent emotional problems.
Siblings may have precocious involvement in the care of the child with ASD, and some resent the amount of attention and resources the child with ASD requires or the family’s inability to participate in activities in which they see their peers engaging. Proactively teaching siblings about ASD and providing them with peer support may be helpful (Autism Speaks Sibling tool kit: http://www.autismspeaks.org/sites/default/files/a_siblings_guide_to_autism.pdf). Many areas have groups to provide education and support to siblings. It appears that positive parental attitudes and a supportive family setting are associated with better sibling adjustment as well. The pediatrician should monitor the well-being and need for behavioral health supports of siblings as well as parents.

Medical Home
In the AAP’s medical home model, primary care is envisioned as accessible, continuous, comprehensive, family centered, coordinated, compassionate, and culturally sensitive for all children and youth, including those with special health care needs. Children with ASD represent a population that has had difficulty accessing comprehensive coordinated services. The chronic care model provides the structure for clinicians to collaborate with patients and their families. Parents of children with ASD perceive care to be less comprehensive, less well coordinated, and less family centered than they desire and report that they are less satisfied with their care compared with parents of children with other special health care needs. Parents also perceive their providers as less well informed regarding treatments for ASD, especially complementary, alternative, and integrative therapies, than they would like them to be. Pediatricians report that they lack the knowledge to provide this support to patients with ASD as well as the time and resources for specialized care. Parents of children and youth with ASD would like better access to specialty care and report greater unmet medical and behavioral health care needs and a higher financial burden for care compared with parents of children without ASD.

Increasing family awareness and understanding of the medical home can promote partnership of the parents and primary care provider in planning and coordinating the child’s care and advocating for their needs. National survey data reveal that family-centered and coordinated care through a medical home results in fewer unmet needs, including dental needs. Organizations, such as Family Voices and Family-to-Family Health Information Centers, can provide information and support as well as resources for guiding families in developing care notebooks for their child. Through their ongoing relationship, providers can help children understand their own diagnosis at their developmental level. Clinicians can remind their patients with ASD of their strengths, such as focus, memory, visual-spatial problem-solving, and others, as well as their personal accomplishments in building skills and mastering barriers to achieve goals. Recognition of achievement of milestones, whether it is toilet training or college graduation, should be acknowledged.

Shared decision-making promotes a collaborative process for planning care through dialogue among the individual who is affected, caregivers, and clinicians. It can be particularly useful when the evidence for an intervention is either controversial or if there is not a uniformly accepted approach. Shared decision-making requires clarity of the question to be answered, the options to be understood, and the family context and beliefs to be respected. It is often a process rather than a single conversation. Helping children and youth with ASD understand their diagnosis within the context of their developmental level can help them understand their symptoms and participate in decision-making.

Transition to Adulthood
Planning for children with ASD to understand and participate in their own health care should begin early in adolescence, with adaptation for developmental abilities. The AAP clinical report “Supporting the Health Care Transition From Adolescence to Adulthood in the Medical Home” provides guidance on the steps necessary to address health care transitions for all patients with chronic conditions. Got Transition recommends 6 core elements that need to be addressed for health care transition without disruption in care, including (1) a transition policy for the practice, (2) tracking and monitoring transition, (3) assessing transition readiness for youth and/or family, (4) actively planning the details of transition, (5) transfer of care, and (6) transition completion. The pediatric health care provider is also in a position to advise the family about teaching their adolescent with ASD about sexuality. Planning for wellness requires considering young adult opportunities for exercise and leisure activities. Planning for medical transition for all aspects of health care should start around ages 12 to 14 years. Educational transition starts at the school level at age 14 years and should involve the student as much as possible.

As a child approaches legal adulthood, the family may need to consider guardianship, either full guardianship in cases in which an adult child cannot make health, financial, or other decisions because of cognitive impairment; limited guardianship in cases in which an individual can participate in decision-making; or conservatorship in cases in which the oversight extends only to
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Financial decision-making. Many young adults with ASD will be capable of independent decision-making and should be prepared for transition to adulthood like other teenagers. The young adult with ASD may be eligible for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits. SSI is a federal program that provides funds for the care of individuals with developmental disabilities who will not be able to support themselves independently. Because of the strict guidelines regarding cognitive and adaptive delays, some adults with ASD may not be eligible for SSI even if their disability is a barrier to employment. Families may wish to meet with a counselor who can advise them on financial planning, with attention to the needs of an adult child with developmental disability.

Students with disabilities who plan to continue their education need to be advised of the transitioning process into postsecondary education. Students with disabilities are protected under IDEA (1990; amended 1997 and 2004); Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973; the Americans with Disabilities Act (1990); and the ADA Amendments Act of 2008. Some colleges may provide accommodations to students with developmental disabilities with proper documentation of their needs, including recent academic testing. College students with ASD may benefit from continued supports around social skills development, medication monitoring, and mentoring on living independently.

Although resources are still insufficient, attention is growing for the need to provide social skills training for youth with ASD with and without intellectual disabilities to enter the workforce in competitive employment as well as job skill development. There are insufficient group-home and supported community-living arrangements for adults with ASD to meet the demands in most communities. The clinician should initiate discussions with parents regarding their plans for where their child with ASD will progress to postsecondary school education and/or employment and their plans for where their child will live in adulthood early in adolescence so the family can plan appropriately with community agencies.

Families should work with their child’s school throughout adolescence to target the skills their child will need to master to be successful in young adult programs, the workforce, or postsecondary education. Goals for increasing skills may include academic, social, communication, leisure, and self-care goals. Families need information to be as proactive as possible in planning for health, academic, job, and residential needs in young adulthood. Additional research is needed to develop and evaluate evidence-based and effective interventions for this age group.

The pediatric health care provider should provide anticipatory guidance to the family in the context of ongoing health supervision and communicate with identified adult providers for smooth health care transition.

STATE PROGRAMS, SUPPORTS, AND LAWS

State laws related to education, social service, and insurance for individuals with ASD vary significantly. Although the federal government mandates early intervention for children at risk for developmental delay and a free and appropriate education for students aged 3 to 21 years who have specific educationally handicapping conditions, the implementation of educational services varies by state and locality. The law states that services need to be appropriate, not necessarily optimal. No legal mandate for adult services exists, although the agencies that provide residential services, service coordination, job training, and adult day services typically are funded through the states.

The social services and home- and community-based waiver services available to families whose children have developmental disabilities, including ASD, differ from state to state. The clinician should be familiar with the requirements for programs in their state that might lead to a Medicaid waiver (medical assistance as a secondary insurance for children with special health care needs), service coordination, respite care, and other financial or behavioral supports afforded a family when a child has special health care needs. The clinician may need to complete a form to verify the diagnosis and needs for eligibility. Of note, some children with ASD who have typical cognitive abilities may not qualify for many special education and social service supports. However, later on, at the time of transition to adulthood, if they experience difficulty with employment and daily-living skills, they may qualify for support services.

SECTION 7: RESEARCH AND SERVICE NEEDS

More than $1.5 billion of private and public research funding was devoted to ASD between 2008 and 2010. The passage of the Combating Autism Act of 2006 (Public Law 109–416) and its reauthorization in 2014 as the Autism Collaboration, Accountability, Research, Education and Support Act (CARES) Act (Public Law 113–157) continued a trend in funding to address the intervention needs of individuals diagnosed with ASD. Before this time, research funding was largely focused on the genetics and neurobiology of the disorder. However, this changed with the convening of the National Institutes of Health Interagency Autism Coordinating Committee in 2006. The committee was assembled to provide guidance to the agencies funding autism services, and the research agenda was expanded on the basis of the contributions of stakeholders, including families, individuals.
affected, and federal agencies. The committee’s 2009 strategic plan, updated in 2017, identified 7 areas for research funding: (1) early detection, (2) underlying biology, (3) genetic and environmental risk factors, (4) treatments and interventions, (5) services and implementation science, (6) lifespan services and supports, and (7) epidemiological surveillance and infrastructure. The committee recommended that multiple levels of inquiry be pursued simultaneously to inform evidence-based clinical care. These levels include the following:

- basic and translational science in the areas of genetics and epigenetics, neurobiology, and psychopharmacology to understand typical and atypical brain development and function to develop ASD-specific behavioral and pharmacologic therapies; additional research is needed to identify and understand ASD risk factors that might be mitigated to reduce ASD-related disability;
- research into the underlying neurobiology of sensory symptoms and restricted interests and repetitive behaviors to inform development of targeted interventions;
- clinical trials to test focused interventions based on the underlying biological processes involved with ASD to determine if they are appropriate for community application;
- epidemiological surveillance to gather data important for planning for current and future needs, including screening, diagnosis, and lifespan health and mental health services; and
- health services research to provide guidance for comprehensive, accessible, and culturally appropriate medical, educational, and behavioral care for children, youth, adults, and families affected by ASD.

Research in all of these areas is critical to move forward with early diagnosis, effective treatment, and evidence-based interventions at each age.

**PEDIATRIC RECOMMENDATIONS**

To provide appropriate care to all children and families affected by ASD, health, education, and public health systems need to collaborate and build integrated and adequately funded and staffed systems.

- Early identification and treatment: Pediatric providers should use screening and surveillance to provide accurate and early identification, cost-effective and timely diagnosis, prompt implementation of evidence-based interventions, and elimination of disparities to access to care for children with ASD. Clinicians should respond appropriately to family or clinical concerns and results of screening to avoid delays in diagnosis and treatment.
- Collaboration of systems of care: Children with ASD should be provided evidence-based services to address social, academic, and behavioral needs at home and school; access to appropriate pediatric and mental health care; respite services; and leisure activities.
- Planning for adolescence and transition to adult systems of care: Communities should build services to promote social skills appropriate for work and postsecondary education, access to appropriate medical and behavioral health services, job skills development, and community leisure opportunities. Pediatricians need to engage with families and youth to plan a transition to adult medical and behavioral health care. The medical home provider should support the family and youth in advocating for appropriate postsecondary work or schooling, residential supports, and activities to maintain a healthy lifestyle.
- Informed individuals and families: The pediatrician can educate youth with ASD and their families about the evidence for interventions, refer families for possible participation in clinical research when appropriate, refer families to support organizations, and prepare families to navigate transitions.
- Informed pediatric providers: To best serve patients and families affected by ASD, the clinician caring for children and youth with ASD should be familiar with issues related to diagnosis, coexisting medical and behavioral conditions, and the impact of ASD on the family to provide a medical home for these patients. Actively addressing capacity building to care for children and youth with ASD requires initiatives directed at provider education and practice quality improvement and public health, educational, and social programs to support families in their journey from diagnosis to service provision to transition to adult care.
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